```
Volume 4
                                             Pages 670 - 990
                 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
             BEFORE THE HONORABLE VAUGHN R. WALKER
KRISTIN M. PERRY,
SANDRA B. STIER, PAUL T. KATAMI,
and JEFFREY J. ZARRILLO,
             Plaintiffs,
VS.
                                   ) NO. C 09-2292-VRW
ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, in his
official capacity as Governor of
California; EDMUND G. BROWN, JR.,
in his official capacity as
Attorney General of California;
MARK B. HORTON, in his official
capacity as Director of the
California Department of Public
Health and State Registrar of
Vital Statistics; LINETTE SCOTT,
in her official capacity as Deputy )
Director of Health Information &
Strategic Planning for the
California Department of Public
Health; PATRICK O'CONNELL, in his
official capacity as
Clerk-Recorder for the County of
Alameda; and DEAN C. LOGAN, in his )
official capacity as
Registrar-Recorder/County Clerk
for the County of Los Angeles,
                                   ) San Francisco, California
             Defendants.
                                   ) Thursday
                                    January 14, 2010
                   TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
```

Reported By: Katherine Powell Sullivan, CRR, CSR 5812

Debra L. Pas, CRR, CSR 11916

Official Reporters - U.S. District Court

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiffs: GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036-5306

BY: THEODORE B. OLSON, ESQUIRE MATTHEW D. MCGILL, ESQUIRE

> GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 333 South Grand Avenue

Los Angeles, California 90071-3197

THEODORE J. BOUTROUS, JR., ESQUIRE BY: CHRISTOPHER D. DUSSEAULT, ESQUIRE

> GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 555 Mission Street, Suite 3000 San Francisco, California 94105-2933

ETHAN D. DETTMER, JR., ESQUIRE BY: ENRIQUE A. MONAGAS, ESQUIRE SARAH E. PIEPMEIER, ESQUIRE

> BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP 333 Main Street Armonk, New York 10504

BY: DAVID BOIES, ESQUIRE

BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP 575 Lexington Avenue, 7th Floor New York, New York 10022

BY: JOSHUA I. SCHILLER, ESQUIRE

BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP 1999 Harrison Street, Suite 900 Oakland, California 94612

BY: JEREMY MICHAEL GOLDMAN, ESQUIRE STEVEN C. HOLTZMAN, ESQUIRE

For Plaintiff-Intervenor:

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY

One Drive Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, California 94102-4682

BY: THERESE STEWART, DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY

DANNY CHOU

CHRISTINE VAN AKEN RONALD P. FLYNN MOLLIE M. LEE

DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEYS

(APPEARANCES CONTINUED ON FOLLOWING PAGE)

APPEARANCES (CONTINUED):

For Defendant

Gov. Schwarzenegger:

980 9th Street, Suite 1700

Sacramento, California 95814-2736

BY: ANDREW WALTER STROUD, ESQUIRE

For Defendant STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE

Edmund G. Brown Jr.: 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000

San Francisco, California 94102-7004

BY: TAMAR PACHTER, DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL

STATE OF CALIFORNIA Department of Justice

Office of the Attorney General

1300 I Street, 17th Floor Sacramento, California 95814

BY: GORDON BURNS, DEPUTY SOLICITOR GENERAL

For Defendant-COOPER & KIRK

Dean C. Logan:

1523 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W. Intervenors:

Washington, D.C. 20036

BY: CHARLES J. COOPER, ESQUIRE

DAVID H. THOMPSON, ESQUIRE

HOWARD C. NIELSON, JR., ESQUIRE

NICOLE MOSS, ESQUIRE

PETER A. PATTERSON, ESQUIRE

ALLIANCE DEFENSE FUND 15100 North 90th Street Scottsdale, Arizona 85260

BY: BRIAN W. RAUM, SENIOR COUNSEL

ALLIANCE DEFENSE FUND 801 G Street NW, Suite 509 Washington, D.C. 20001

BY: JORDAN W. LORENCE, ESQUIRE

OFFICE OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY COUNSEL For Defendant

500 West Temple Street, Room 652

Los Angeles, California 90012

BY: JUDY WHITEHURST, DEPUTY COUNTY COUNSEL

(APPEARANCES CONTINUED ON FOLLOWING PAGE)

APPEARANCES (CONTINUED):

For Defendant

Patrick O'Connell: OFFICE OF ALAMEDA COUNTY COUNSEL

1221 Oak Street, Suite 450 Oakland, California 94612

BY: CLAUDE F. KOLM, DEPUTY COUNTY COUNSEL

MANUEL MARTINEZ, DEPUTY COUNTY COUNSEL

For Mr. Garlow, AMERICAN CENTER FOR LAW & JUSTICE Mr. McPherson: 11 West Chestnut Hill Road 11 West Chestnut Hill Road Litchfield, Connecticut 06759

BY: VINCENT P. MCCARTHY, ESQUIRE

1 PROCEEDINGS **JANUARY 14, 2010** 1:00 P.M. 2 3 4 THE COURT: Mr. Boutrous, your next witness, please. MR. DUSSEAULT: Your Honor, the plaintiffs call 5 6 Dr. Ilan Meyer. 7 THE CLERK: Raise your right hand, please. ILAN MEYER, 8 9 called as a witness for the Plaintiffs herein, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 10 THE WITNESS: I do. 11 12 THE CLERK: Thank you. State your name, please. 13 14 THE WITNESS: Ilan Meyer. THE CLERK: And spell your last name. 15 16 THE WITNESS: M-e-y-e-r. THE CLERK: Your first name. 17 18 THE WITNESS: I-l-a-n. 19 THE CLERK: Thank you. 2.0 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. DUSSEAULT: 21 22 Good afternoon, Dr. Meyer. 23 Good afternoon. 24 I would like to start asking you a few questions about your educational background. Where did you receive your

undergraduate degree?

- 2 || A. I received a B.A. from Tel Aviv University in Israel. I
- 3 | received a B.A. from Tel Aviv University, in psychology and
- 4 | special education.
- 5 | Q. Do you have a master's degree?
- 6 A. Yes. I received a master's degree in psychology from the
- 7 New School for Social Research in New York City.
- 8 $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$ Did you do a predoctoral fellowship of any kind?
- 9 **A.** Yes. After the master's degree, I moved to a doctoral
- 10 program at Columbia University. And during this program, I had
- 11 | a National Institute of Mental Health Fellowship in psychiatric
- 12 epidemiology.
- 13 **Q.** What is psychiatric epidemiology?
- 14 A. Psychiatric epidemiology is the study of mental disorders.
- 15 | We are interested in patterns of mental disorders, causes of
- 16 | mental disorders, risks for mental disorders. Very much like
- 17 | epidemiology of infectious diseases, where we are looking at
- 18 | the infections, but this is concerning psychiatric disorders
- 19 such as depression, anxiety, and so forth.
- 20 Q. Dr. Meyer, do you have a Ph.D.?
- 21 **A.** I do.
- 22 | Q. From where did you receive it?
- 23 **A.** From Columbia University.
- 24 | Q. When did you receive it?
- 25 **A.** In 1993.

- 1 $\|\mathbf{Q}$. And in what field did you receive your Ph.D.?
- 2 **A.** The department where I got the Ph.D. is called
- 3 | Sociomedical Sciences. It's a department that brings together
- 4 people from various social sciences and studying of public
- 5 | health problems or public health issues. In my case, mental
- 6 disorders. But other people may study other types of
- 7 disorders.
- 8 Q. And did you do a doctoral dissertation?
- 9 || **A.** I did.
- 10 \mathbb{Q} . What was the title of it?
- 11 **A.** The title of it was, "Prejudice and Pride. Minority
- 12 | Stress and Mental Health in Gay Men."
- 13 | Q. Did it receive any awards?
- 14 | A. It was chosen for distinction by the University, which is
- 15 given to the top 10 percent of dissertations at the university,
- 16 | Columbia University.
- 17 | Q. Did you do any postdoctoral fellowship?
- 18 A. I did. After finishing my Ph.D., I did three years of
- 19 postdoctoral work. They were funded also by the National
- 20 | Institutes of Health, or NIH.
- 21 The first one was a two-year postdoctoral fellowship
- 22 at City University of New York, the graduate center. And that
- 23 | was in health psychology.
- 24 The second one was at Memorial Sloan-Kettering. And
- 25 | that was in HIV, AIDS and psychiatry.

- Q. Dr. Meyer, let's talk a bit about your employment. What's your current employment position?
- 3 **A.** I'm an associate professor at the Department of
- 4 | Sociomedical Sciences, the same department where I graduated.
- 5 I'm also the executive chair for the department, in charge of
- 6 | our masters program, which has about a hundred students a year
- 7 | entering to this master's degree.
- 8 Q. This is at Columbia University?
- 9 \mathbf{A} . Exactly.
- 10 Q. At the Mailman School of Public Health?
- 11 **A.** Yes.
- 12 | Q. Do you chair any programs within your department?
- 13 $\|\mathbf{A}$. Yes. Well, first, I co-chair what we call the steering
- 14 committee for the school, entire school. That is the School of
- 15 | Public Health.
- And the steering committee is a faculty committee
- 17 | that represents the academic and other issues that the faculty
- 18 has, in terms of the direction of the school and in terms of
- 19 | programs and so forth. So we -- so I'm a co-chair of that
- 20 | committee.
- I also chair the departmental committee on M.P.H.,
- 22 master's of public health degree. As I said, I'm in charge of
- 23 | that program.
- 24 I'm also involved or sit in our curriculum committee,
- 25 which is the committee that determines what the students should

learn in terms of receiving their degrees. I probably have some other committees that I am on. 2 That's quite a bit of --3 4 That's a good start. Thank you. 5 What year did you join the faculty of Columbia 6 University? 7 My first appointment, in '94. But that was while I was still doing my postdoctoral degree. But I think my full-time 8 appointment is in '96. 9 And you've been there consistently? 10 Yes. 11 Α. Let's talk a little bit about what you do professionally. 12 Has your professional -- let me step back. 13 It's been close to 20 years since you got your 14 doctorate? 15 It is. 16 Has the professional work you've done over that period 17 focused on any particular topics? 18 Yes. My area of study I would define as social 19 2.0 epidemiology. The terms that are maybe not that 21 self-explanatory, but if I had to explain it, I study the 22 relationship between social issues, social factors in our --23 the structure of our society, and the way things happen in our society, and health patterns, health outcomes. And, 24

specifically, mental health outcomes.

- Q. And that's within the field of social epidemiology?
- 2 **A.** That's within the field, I guess, of psychiatric
- 3 epidemiology. And social epidemiology would be one approach
- 4 | within that field.

- 5 THE COURT: Let me see if I have that. Your area of
- 6 study is the relationship of social structures and mental
- 7 | health outcomes?
- 8 THE WITNESS: Yes, within psychiatric epidemiology,
- 9 | which more broadly discusses and studies patterns and causes of
- 10 mental disorders.
- 11 THE COURT: Fine.
- 12 BY MR. DUSSEAULT:
- 13 Q. Dr. Meyer, could you please tell the Court, has your work
- 14 | focused on any particular groups of the population?
- 15 A. Yes. Most directly, I have been studying lesbian, gay,
- 16 and bisexual populations within this area.
- I have also studied other populations. I have
- 18 studied African-Americans. I have studied other issues, such
- 19 as asthma and HIV. But most of my work has been on lesbian,
- 20 | gay, bisexuals and mental health issues.
- 21 | Q. Have you made any presentations at professional
- 22 conferences in the course of your work?
- 23 A. Yes, I have made many presentations. I think most of them
- 24 | are listed in my CV, but maybe not all the major ones. I would
- 25 | say there were over 40 listed there.

- 1 Q. Okay. Have you received any research grants, sir?
- 2 A. Yes, I've received funding for my research. Currently,
- 3 | I'm a recipient of the Robert Wood Johnson's Foundation's
- 4 | Health Policy Investigator Award.
- 5 | I've received, in the past, grants from the National
- 6 | Institutes of Health, and the National Library of Medicine,
- 7 | from New York State Department of Health, from private
- 8 | foundations, et cetera.
- 9 Q. Have you received any awards for your professional work?
- 10 \mathbf{A} . I have.
- 11 **Q.** What are some of those?
- 12 **A.** Well, I guess, most recently, I received an award for
- 13 | distinguished scientific contribution from the American
- 14 | Psychological Association's Division 44, which is a division of
- 15 | the American Psychological Association that concerns gay,
- 16 lesbian, and bisexual health.
- 17 | Q. Have you been a reviewer or editor of any publications?
- 18 A. Many times. That's part of what we do. I've reviewed
- 19 many manuscripts that were to be published and would -- would
- 20 ||assess them for their value, and recommend to the editor
- 21 | about -- and critique the manuscripts, and so forth.
- 22 I've also been a guest editor on a couple of
- 23 | journals. A major one was when I was invited to guest edit the
- 24 | American Journal of Public Health, special issue on lesbian,
- 25 gay, bisexual and transgender health.

This was the first issue that was published on the topic by the American Journal of Public Health, which is a very prestigious journal. It's been around for, I would say, close to a hundred years.

It was a very successful issue. It actually is the first issue that sold out, in the memory of anybody. Which is a very rare thing for a scientific journal.

Q. Not the highest circulation.

(Laughter)

2.0

- A. No. After that, I edited or co-edited another journal.

 Again, this is a special issue of a journal, so the journal is published regularly. But I, in this case, edited a special issue of American Journal of Public Health.
- And the second one was a journal that's called *Social Science in Medicine*. In that case, I co-edited with two colleagues a special issue that focused on prejudice and stigma, and their impact in public health, and different issues within public health of how we should think about prejudice and stigma.
- Q. Have you edited any books, sir?
- A. Yes. The I -- in part, because of the success of American Journal of Public Health issue, I was invited by editors in Springer Publication -- at the time it was Clure -- and they asked me to edit a book on lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender public health issues, which I did with a co-editor

also.

- 2 $\|\mathbf{Q}$. And have you written any articles?
- 3 | A. Yes. I have written articles, both peer-reviewed articles
- 4 and articles that were more of a commentary or editorial
- 5 | nature, and chapters, and so forth.
- 6 **Q.** Can you approximate how many articles you've written?
- 7 | A. I think there are 44 peer-reviewed articles listed on my
- 8 CV right now. And maybe 12 other types, commentaries, and so
- 9 forth.
- 10 | Q. Dr. Meyer, do you teach students as part of your position
- 11 | at Columbia?
- 12 **A.** Yes.
- 13 Q. What courses do you teach?
- 14 A. Currently, I teach three courses. Not at the same time,
- 15 | but there are three courses I currently teach. The first one
- 16 is a course in research methodology, such as how to conduct
- 17 | surveys, and things like that.
- 18 The -- that's a required course for our students.
- 19 | There are also two seminars that I teach. One is called,
- 20 | "Prejudice, Stigma, and Discrimination as Social Stressors."
- 21 And that one is a course on gay and lesbian issues in
- 22 | public health.
- 23 Q. Dr. Meyer, you have a witness binder in front of you. If
- 24 | you could turn to the very last tab, which is Plaintiff's
- 25 | Exhibit No. 2328.

1 Yes. Α. Q. If you could take a look at that document. 2 3 Α. That's my CV. 4 That's your CV. That was my question. 5 MR. DUSSEAULT: Your Honor, plaintiffs would tender 6 Dr. Ilan Meyer as an expert in public health, with a focus on 7 social psychology and psychiatric epidemiology. THE COURT: Voir dire? 8 9 MR. NIELSON: No objection to (inaudible). THE COURT: No objection to him being qualified to 10 offer his opinions? 11 MR. NIELSON: No objection to him being qualified as 12 an expert (inaudible). 13 THE COURT: Very well. 14 MR. DUSSEAULT: And, Your Honor, with respect to the 15 exhibits, to try and keep things efficient, what we have done 16 is, counsel and I have agreed on a list of documents that will 17 be admitted together. 18 I understand that list has been provided to you and 19 2.0 to the clerk. And I'm happy to read them, if it would be 21 better for you, but we could just agree -- I suspect it's not. 22 We could agree that those documents will be admitted. 23 THE COURT: This is five pages. 24 MR. DUSSEAULT: It is. 49 exhibits, I believe. 25 THE COURT: 49 exhibits. If there is no objection,

each of these will be admitted. MR. NIELSON: No objection, Your Honor. 2 3 THE COURT: Thank you, Counsel. (Plaintiffs' Exhibits 900, 922, 923, 926, 927, 955, 4 5 962, 973, 974, 975, 976, 978, 979, 980, 981, 982, 6 983, 984, 987, 988, 989, 990, 991, 992, 993, 994, 7 995, 996, 997, 998, 999, 1002, 1003, 1004, 1005, 1008, 1010, 1011, 1012, 1013, 1014, 1015, 1016, 1020, 8 1168, 1374, 1378, 1471 and 2328 received in 9 evidence.) 10 BY MR. DUSSEAULT: 11 Two straightforward questions about those exhibits that 12 were just admitted into evidence. 13 With the exception of three of them, which are 14 Exhibits 973, 975, and 976, is it true that each of the 15 documents that has just been admitted into evidence, that's in 16 your binder, is a document that you've relied on in forming the 17 opinions that you intend to offer in this case? 18 Yes. Based on my examination of this previously, yes. 19 2.0 And the three exhibits that I mentioned, 973 -- you can 21 take a look at them, if you like -- 973, 975 and 976, those are 22 documents that came up in the course of your deposition 23 testimony in this case and that were referenced by you in that 24 testimony? What was the third one? I'm sorry. 25 Yes.

Q. 976.

- $2 | \mathbf{A}$. Okay. Yes, that is correct.
- 3 \mathbf{Q} . Now, Dr. Meyer, do you intend to offer any opinions in
- 4 | this litigation here today?
- $5 | \mathbf{A}$. Yes, I do.
- 6 Q. What opinions do you intend to offer?
- 7 A. Well, my opinion really describes the work that I've been
- 8 | doing, as I described it earlier. And I would say there are
- 9 three elements there.
- The first one is on the nature of stigma. And I will
- 11 testify to the effect of stigma on gay and lesbian populations
- 12 with reference to Proposition 8 as an example of a stigma.
- The second part will describe a model of minority
- 14 stress that is a model that I am credited with authoring, and
- 15 has been referred to in much of the literature on gay and
- 16 | lesbian health. And I will describe how social stressors
- 17 | affect gay and lesbian populations.
- 18 | And the third part describes the effect of those
- 19 stressors on health, in particular mental health.
- 20 $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\cdot}\|$ And on what do you base the opinions that you're going to
- 21 | testify about today?
- 22 **A.** As I've said, this is a topic of my study for, as you
- 23 | said, for the past 20 years; really, since my work on my
- 24 | dissertation. And the opinion is based on many research
- 25 | articles, both -- some that I've conducted myself, and many

more that were conducted in the field over many years. And I rely on -- on this body of evidence.

A sample of it, I guess, would be what you offered as an exhibit, which is what I relied on in writing a report earlier.

Q. So, Dr. Meyer, let's start talking a little more detail about each of these opinions. Let's start with the first, which you said refers to stigma experienced by gay men and lesbians.

Can you define what you mean by "stigma," as you use that word?

A. Yes. And I have to say that I have to be very brief in this description. The work on stigma has many, many volumes that I'm sure we don't want -- as I said, it's the subject of the whole seminar that I teach.

But the most succinct, I guess, description would be that a group in society has some kind of attribute that has been identified to be a negative attribute, that is seen as negative by society.

And this attribute is attached to persons who are believed to have this attribute. And because of having this attribute, they are, therefore, what we call devalued.

So, in the example of gay sexual orientation, sexual orientation is identified as such an attribute that people perceive as being a negative attribute. And, therefore, gay

and lesbian people, as a whole -- I don't mean as a whole -the whole person is identified by that identity that is 2 3 devalued; and, therefore, the whole person is devalued because 4 of that relationship. 5 And stigma, of course, has been applied to many other 6 populations and instances. 7 Are you familiar with a concept referred to as "structural stigma"? 8 Yes. 9 Α. What is structural stigma? 10 Structural stigma refers to, in a sense, the origins of 11 the stigma and the mechanisms that maintain and enact stigma. 12 So those refer -- by the word "structural" we mean to 13 more solid structures in society, societal institutions such 14 as, of course, the law being an important one, and any other 15 institution that is essential in our society. 16 Explain a little more, if you would, for the Court, the 17 Q. 18 way that laws can play a role in structural stigma. Well, laws have a major role in determining access of 19 2.0 different -- of the citizens to different -- we call it goods 21 that society can provide to resources, I guess would be the 22 word. And laws may block or foster access to such resources. 23 In that sense, they enact, perhaps, for a group that is

stigmatized -- or, rather, control the access that various

groups may have to a particular institution.

24

1 So, of course, here we're talking about marriage. And that would be an example of, in this case, a very important 2 3 institution of marriage. 4 And, of course, the law has a role in determining who 5 can access that institution. And, again, that would be 6 applicable to other types of examples. 7 So once a social -- excuse me, a structural stigma is in place, how does it affect people? 8 So, as I said, structural stigmas determine the access 9 that people have to those resources. 10 I rely on the sociologists that talked about the 11 12 opportunity structures. The society lays out goals that people -- I don't want to say fault -- internalize. 13 People want to achieve certain goals that we all view 14 15 as important goals in our lives; such as, career and marriage 16 being two important examples of that. 17 And stigma would, as I said, determine the access 18 that people have to those desired goals, to achieving those 19 desired goals. 2.0 And has the research found that there are stigmas associated with gay men and lesbians? 21 22 Yes, of course. 23 And what are some examples of such stigma?

A. There are really many stigmas and stereotypes that describe kind of how people are perceived.

24

2.0

In my work, I have written about the role of intimate relationships and the way intimate relationships have been portrayed.

And part of the stereotype that is part of the stigma, the negative attitude or the negative associations with this group, has been for many years that gay people are un -- incapable of relationships, of intimate relationships; they may be undesiring, even, of intimate relationships; and that, certainly, they are not successful at having intimate relationships.

And when I say this has been a kind of social stigma, I'm talking about how it has been portrayed in various cultural outlets as well as in a more organized way in various social interactions, social institutions.

- Q. You used the phrase "intimate relationships." What do you mean by that?
- A. "Intimate relationships" mean relationships that people have. Of course, primary among them would be something like a marriage, a husband and a wife. But, also, other intimate relationships with one's family, one's children, and one's community.

And in all of those, again, as people have been described for many years as social isolates, as unconnected, as -- as not as good citizens, in a sense, who partake in society the same way that everybody else. As a pariah, so to

speak. So that's what stigma does.

And, in particular, for gay and lesbian example, I think the issue of intimate relationship because of the nature of what being gay is about who you choose to be with, that has been a strong source of stigma.

- Dr. Meyer, if you could turn in your binder to Plaintiff's 7 Exhibit 1011, please.
- Yes. 8 Α.

2

3

4

5

6

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- And this is one of the documents that you've relied on in 9 Q. forming your opinions? 10
- Yes. 11 A.
- What is Exhibit 1011? 12
- This is a chapter from a book that I've relied on and that 13 I've used in teaching as an example of -- maybe I should say 14 what the book is. 15
 - So, this is a chapter from a book that was published in the '60s, late '60s, and was a very popular book. It was called, "Everything you Ever Wanted to Know About Sex (But Were Afraid to Ask)."

It was very, very popular. It was published in many -- I have a hardcover edition that is the 17th edition of this book, that was published in 1969. And I personally remember that book.

So in this book there are different chapters that aim to educate the public about different issues concerning

```
sexuality. And this particular chapter is concerning male
   homosexuality.
 2
        And this is a book that had wide distribution?
 3
 4
       Absolutely.
 5
              MR. DUSSEAULT: Could we put up demonstrative 2,
 6
   please.
 7
              (Document displayed)
   BY MR. DUSSEAULT:
 8
 9
         I'm going to ask you about this, but what I would like to
   do is just read the text into the record so it's clear what
10
   you're addressing.
11
        May I explain something about this?
12
13
   Q. Of course.
        I'm sorry. So the book is written in a
14
   question-and-answer format. And, basically, the author goes
15
    through explaining sexual issues as if there is a question that
16
    somebody is asking him about his opinion about various sexual
17
    issues, and then he provides the answer. So this is an excerpt
18
   of one of those question and answers?
19
2.0
        Okay. So the question posed is:
              "What about all the homosexuals who live
21
22
              together happily for years?"
23
              And the answer is:
24
              "What about them? They are mighty rare birds
25
              among the homosexual flock. Moreover, the
```

'happy' part remains to be seen. 1 bitterest argument between husband and wife 2 3 is a passionate love sonnet by comparison 4 with a dialogue between a butch and his 5 queen. Live together? Yes. Happily? 6 Hardly." 7 Is this text from this book an example of the stigma that you're talking about, sir? 8 Yes, I think this is a very dramatic experience of what I 9 was referring to where, in this case, an educational book 10 portrays the relationship between, in this case, gay men as --11 with great disrespect. I would say ridicule and contempt. 12 that was the kind of -- and one example of what I was referring 13 14 to. At what stage in life does stigma begin to affect gay men 15 and lesbians? 16 Stigma really affects all people in society, because it is 17 a social norm, if you will. It is something that we all in 18 19 society learn from a very young age. 2.0 It affects gay and lesbian -- this particular stigma 21 affects gay and lesbian -- sorry, gay men and lesbians in a 22 particular way because it is about something that is very 23 pertinent to how they think about who they are. 24 In my mind, this kind of stigma on other stereotypes

are very impactful, especially at the younger age, and in

particular in the time of life where gay men and lesbians,
usually during youth, either realize or recognize or know that
they're gay, and begin to try to understand what that means to

And, of course, the most available reference that they would have is the kind of things that they have learned over their lifetime, over their childhood, socialization that we all have been exposed to.

So it affects everybody but, certainly, it affects in a very strong way somebody who is maybe coming out and realizing that he or she is gay, and that's what they might believe is what is in line for them.

- 13 | Q. Now, Dr. Meyer, you live in New York, correct?
- 14 A. That's true.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

them.

- 15 **Q.** Are you familiar with Proposition 8, the ballot initiative 16 that was passed in California?
- 17 $\|\mathbf{A}$. Yes, I am.
- 18 Q. And what's your basic understanding of what Proposition 8 did?
- A. Well, proposition 8 was a proposition that was voted by voters in California, restricted marriage to a man and a woman; and, in fact, excluding gay men and lesbians from marriage.
- 23 And it was a constitutional amendment to the California 24 Constitution.
- 25 Q. In your view, based on your work in this field, is

Proposition 8 a form of structural stigma?

A. Yes, absolutely. As I described stigma earlier, I would say that law, and certainly a constitutional part of the law, would be a very strong part of, as I described, the social structures that define stigma, that define access.

In a very simple way, you can think of it as a block or gate toward a particular institution, toward attaining a particular goal. So, in that sense, it is very much fitting in the definition of structural stigma.

- Q. And in what ways does Prop 8 impose structural stigma on gay men and lesbians in California?
- **A.** Well, it imposes by the fact that it denies them access to the institution of marriage.

As I said, people in our society have goals that are cherished by all people. Again, that's part of social convention, that we all grow up raised to think that there are certain things that we want to achieve in life.

And, in this case, this Proposition 8, in fact, says that if you are gay or lesbian, you cannot achieve this particular goal.

- **Q.** Now, are you aware, sir, that, in California, gay and lesbian couples can register as a domestic partnership?
- $\|\mathbf{A}$. Yes, I am.

2.0

Q. In your view, does that eliminate the structural stigma of Prop 8?

A. No.

2.0

- Q. Why not?
- A. When I talk about Proposition 8 and the institution of marriage, I'm talking about an institution that has a social meaning.

As I described it, this has to do with the aspirations of people to achieve certain goals. And I was not referring, and I don't refer to any tangible benefit that maybe are accompanying marriage or a domestic partnership arrangement.

So my -- what I'm talking about throughout my work and today is really about the symbolic meaning, the social meaning of marriage.

It is, I think, quite clear that the young children do not aspire to be domestic partners. But, certainly, the word "marriage" is something that many people aspire to.

Doesn't mean that everybody achieves that, but at least I would say it's a very common, social, socially-approved goal for people as they think -- for children as they think about their future and for people as they develop relationships.

For young people, and certainly for people later on, this is a desirable and respected type of goal that if you attain it, it's something that gives you pride and respect.

Q. And do you have an opinion as to whether domestic

partnerships enjoy similar symbolic and social meaning?

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

I have an opinion. And that is that, as I said, I don't 2 3 think it has the same social meaning. In fact, I don't know if 4 it has any social meaning.

I think it has, perhaps, value in terms of the types of benefits that people receive. But as I was trying to explain, that is not what I'm talking about. And that's not really relevant to my discussion of stigma.

Let's turn, then, to the second opinion you mentioned, which had to do with minority stress.

What does "minority stress" mean, as you use that phrase?

Minority stress -- I've written a lot of articles about it, so I'm trying to, again, be brief.

But it basically describes the types of stressors which is -- I have to try to explain, maybe, what stress means, before I do that. Is it --

- Let me break it down. Why don't you tell us what stress 19 means.
 - Okay. So that's perhaps something that's easier to understand.

Stress is -- well, everybody knows what stress means. But when we talk about stress, what we talk about is the kinds of events and conditions that happen from the outside, to the person. And that one of the main definitions is they bring

about some kind of change that require adaptation. In that sense, they are taxing on the person because it requires the person to adjust, so to speak, to this new situation.

One of the strongest types of stressors is a life event. And, certainly, losing a loved one would be a very -- a high magnitude type of an event. Losing a job is another example of an event.

So those are the general -- I've referred to those as general stressors, just because I'm trying to distinguish from the minority stress model that I have written about in regards to gay and lesbian stress.

So there's those different -- there are different ways that we think about stress, not just life events. But, for example, there are also chronic stressors. So, for example, unemployment, a prolonged -- and there are other types that maybe I can explain later if, you want.

- Q. Let's talk a bit about the types. I believe you referenced acute stress. What would that mean?
- A. So a life event is an acute stressor. That's something
 that has a beginning and end. It is pretty easily discernible.
 It happened.

And chronic stress is something that is, as I say, prolonged. Obviously, there could be a relationship between the two. So losing a job would be a life event, but unemployment that would result from that would be a chronic

stress. So they are not totally distinguished.

2.0

There are other types of stressors that people have written about. And, again, this is in general affecting everybody.

Another one would be what we sometimes call daily hassles or minor stressors that are just annoyances that happen to people. Maybe being stuck in traffic for a long time, or being in a long line in bank -- if people still go to banks -- or in supermarket, I guess. So those would be just daily kind of hassles.

And there is another type of stress that is a little different and maybe a little harder to understand as to why it is a stress. And those have been termed "nonevents." Which means nothing happened.

And the reason why a nonevent can be stressful is because it is something that was expected to have happened; so the fact that it didn't happen, in this case, also requires adaptation or adjustment.

So, for example, if I've been working in my job for a certain number of years, and I expected after a certain amount of time I would receive a promotion, but I didn't receive that promotion, that could be a nonevent, in a sense, because nothing happened but it was something that I expected and others expected.

It's not just any kind of expectation. So, you know,

if I bought a lottery ticket and did not get the prize, would not be the same type.

It is something that is normal to expect to happen at a particular time. Usually, we are talking about milestones over a lifetime. And, certainly, marriage will be one of those types of expected events. Having children.

If you ask little children, that will be the kind of thing that they will tell you about what might happen to them in the future: I will marry. I will have children. I will be a grandparent. Things like that, that are easily understood in our society.

- Q. Are the stressors of the type you are talking about essentially inputs on people's lives, as opposed to the result that they may experience?
- A. I'm sorry, yes. So in the research lingo, I guess we would call those the independent factors. Those are the things that happen from the outside.

But in common language, usually, when we talk about stress we think about, also, the outcome, what we call, which is, "I felt stress" means, usually, "I felt some kind of distress because of something that happened."

We try to separate those two. So we try to assess the stressor part, the input, and the outcome that resulted from that stressor, which may -- and, of course, in this case, we study health outcomes.

- Q. So now that we've discussed stress, let's go back to this concept of minority stress. What is minority stress?
 - A. So minority stress is an extension of this notion of stress, in that it identifies a source of stress that stems, as I described earlier, from social arrangement. In particular, prejudice, stigma, and discrimination.

So in my model, any stress that is related to stigma, prejudice, and discrimination I would designate it as a minority stressor.

And, by the way, it could be the exact same type of stressor. So, for example, losing a job, as I said, is a life event. But losing a job due to discrimination is a minority stressor of the same life event.

And the reason that we distinguish those two is because we know that there's different impact for those types of events. And, also, because this allows us to assess and measure them, I guess, in a way that is more precise for this purpose of understanding these issues of social determinants.

Q. Thank you, Dr. Meyer.

Could you turn to Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1003, in your binder.

A. Yes.

- \mathbb{Q} . And if you would tell the Court, what is Exhibit 1003?
- 24 A. This is an article that was published, that I have 25 written.

Q. And what's the subject of it?

2.0

A. So the title of this article is, "Prejudice, Social Stress and Mental Health in Lesbian, Gay, and Bisexual Populations,

Conceptual Issues and Research Evidence."

I published this in 2003, in the journal Psychological Bulletin, which, I might add, is a very prestigious journal in the field of psychology, and quite difficult to get published there.

And this article, I would say, best articulates the model of minority stress that I've written about, and has been referred to by many other researchers who've used it as a theoretical background for their own studies.

So, in fact, there are several hundred studies that result -- well, I wouldn't say resulted, but, certainly, that have used this article, the ideas in this article, as a resource for their own research.

- Q. Now, does the scholarship on minority stress address minority groups other than gay men and lesbians?
- A. Well, certainly, the principles -- I have to explain, maybe, something about how I got to this idea of minority stress, and not to take too much credit, maybe.

So the ideas behind this theory that are outlined here in this article are not all brand-new ideas that I just made up or came up for this purpose of this article. Rather, they rely on many, many years of research.

So, for example, all the research on stress and life events, and so forth, I did not invent that. That has been going on, I would say, since the 1950s, people began to be interested in life events as a source of stress and its -- sorry, impact on health.

So what I have done is articulated this within this particular context of gay, lesbian, and bisexual population.

So the literature on gay, lesbian, and bisexual population have used this term, "minority stress" -- which I, by the way, also did not invent, but used somebody else's. This was a term that I read about in a dissertation that was written on lesbians and mental -- sorry, and life events. And I thought it was a good term.

By the word "minority" here, I mean sexual minorities, which is a term that is used to describe gay men, lesbians and bisexuals.

So this refers to gay, lesbian, and bisexual. As you will see later, most of the things in it are quite specific to gay men and lesbians. But the general theories behind it apply in broader ways.

Q. So let's talk a bit more specifically about it.

Are there particular processes through which minority stress manifests itself or can manifest itself in the lives of gay men and lesbians?

A. Yes. So --

2.0

Q. What are those?

1

7

8

9

10

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

A. So this has been -- I would say, my main contribution is
to articulate what do we exactly mean by that when we say that
prejudice and stigma has an impact on people? And I described
those as processes that describe what actually happens, why is

6 that a stressor?

And I've described in this article and in other work four types of minority stress processes. The first one I've called "prejudice events."

The second -- I'm sorry.

- Q. Why don't you articulate what the four are, and then I'd like to do a little more detail on each. So if you could just generally describe what the four are.
- 14 A. Okay. So the first one is called "prejudice events,"
 15 which encompasses a bunch of concepts.

The second one is called "expectations of rejection and discrimination."

The third one is "concealing," which refers to hiding your sexual orientation, in this case, or not being out, as we say sometimes.

- Q. Okay.
- A. And the fourth one is "internalized homophobia," which refers to the internalization of social attitudes by a gay person or a lesbian.
- 25 Q. Now, how did you identify these processes?

2.0

A. So, as I said, there has been work on each of those topics, that I relied on that work to bring it together to this model that is maybe more concise.

While there were work on prejudice -- sorry, on life events -- and there has been, certainly, a lot of work, for example, on internalized homophobia, ranging to clinical psychological literature -- I gathered together those different sources of research and theory to put it together in this particular form, to explain the experiences of gay men and lesbians.

- Q. So let's start with the first one you identified, prejudice events. What do you money by prejudice events?
- A. So just as I described earlier, the general stress, prejudice events I refer to the types of stressors that are related to prejudice.

So I already gave an example of being fired due to discrimination. That will be a prejudice event.

And this -- in this case, sorry, the prejudice events echo those four types of stressors that I mentioned earlier.

So that would be the major events, the chronic -- the major acute events, the chronic stress, the minor events we could call them, the daily hassles, and the nonevents.

So that is, basically, taking, again, the same framework and using it here in this context. As I say, all of this was not as well-packaged. So it's not that I just took

all of this and copied it into this. I used a lot of research to develop this.

- Q. Dr. Meyer, are the events that you describe as prejudice events different from stress events that may be faced by the rest of the population?
- **A.** Yes, by definition, they are related to prejudice.

2.0

- Q. Can you give more specific examples of prejudice events?
- A. Yes. So in addition to the example I gave that has to do with events related to discrimination, that would include other types of events that people experience.

For example, anti-gay violence would be, clearly, a prejudice event, even though it's not a discrimination. But it is like hate crimes, would be prejudice events in the sense that the person was chosen for this -- to be the victim of this crime because of prejudice.

So these are the major events. Then there are chronic stressors, again, that could be resultant from prejudice.

In my studies, for example, I've collected data from -- in the recent study, about 400 gay men and lesbians.

And we asked them about life events that happened to them over their entire life. We have several -- many thousands of life events that each of them described.

So there would be chronic things like harassment, that children -- sorry, they were adult, who reported that

during their childhood they had been harassed at school. that's not an event. Unless there was an event. So we assess 2 3 each of those for what happened and how it happened. 4 But if somebody says, "Somebody called me a name over 5 the entire year that I was in third grade," we would talk about 6 it as a chronic stressor. 7 If somebody said, "I walked down the street and somebody jumped and attacked me and beat me up," that would be 8 an event, and, in this case, a hate crime, probably, but an 9 event related to prejudice. 10 So those are the life events. 11 There --Can I ask a follow-up question? 12 13 Α. Sorry. Do prejudice events differ in magnitude based on the 14 research? 15 So when we say "magnitude," we mean how big the event was. 16 And, usually, what this means is like how much -- going back to 17 the definition in a more technical way, how much change did 18 19 such an event require, how much adaptation? 20 So that's why I say that losing a job is a very big 21 event. Maybe -- certainly, the minor events I described, 22 waiting in a line is a very tiny magnitude. 23 But there's another aspect to prejudice event which

has been identified, for example, with hate crimes, which is

that they have a greater impact psychologically on the person,

24

25

on the victim of hate crime.

2.0

And that greater impact has to be -- has -- sorry, has to do not so much with the characteristics of the event, but with the social meaning of the event.

So -- and I don't want to -- to talk in this room about anything legal, but, in fact, hate crimes was challenged as a -- whether it could be constitutional. And one of the reasons why, in my understanding, the Supreme Court allowed it to be a separate crime is, in fact, because of that added social meaning, and the added pain.

So that even though it's the same exact crime or the same exact event, when it is attached to prejudice and discrimination and stigma, it has a meaning for the victim that makes it worse.

And that's how we -- we described it here, as well.

- Q. What has the research shown about who commonly perpetrates these prejudice events in the lives of gay men and lesbians?
- A. So when I talk about -- well, "perpetrates" really -- as I described before, I talk about the different levels of, you can say, causes of those events.

So at the larger level is, really, the way I described earlier structural stigma. We sometimes talk about structural prejudice in a similar way. Those are the things that would determine -- that would be the context for, for example, events.

So an event usually is within a larger context. So we look at both of those. So a person -- so those are the structural. And then there are things that we call interpersonal types of events.

2.0

So the perpetrators might be, on one hand, the state, for example, by creating certain structures. But, of course, it could -- it is also individuals who do something. So in the example of the hate crime is the perpetrator.

In the case of gay men and lesbians, or sexual minorities, this is quite distinct from other groups that when we think about prejudice. Unfortunately, often the perpetrators could be family members, even parents and siblings.

And some of the stories that we've collected -- we collect them as short narratives -- has been quite dramatic in terms of what some of those respondents reported in terms of what had happened to them in the past.

This is, by the way, one of the publications here.

And what was -- I don't know if I would say surprising, but what was distinctive about it was how many of them reported family members perpetrating such crimes, really. It would be things like rape or homelessness, that some of them described.

So there is a whole range of potential perpetrators that could be implicated here, in what I'm discussing.

Q. Now, from some of those very serious examples, you also

mentioned earlier, I think, a concept of everyday hassles?

A. Yes.

2

3

- **Q.** Are those also prejudice events?
- $4 \parallel \mathbf{A}$. So in the prejudice literature, we call these daily
- 5 | hassles -- well, some people have called them everyday
- 6 | discrimination events. That's one word. There are other terms
- 7 | that have been used to describe those.
- 8 And in the same way that a hate crime is more
- 9 | significant because of its social meaning that is attached to
- 10 | it, a minor event could have a greater meaning than similar
- 11 events that -- sorry, could have a greater impact than a
- 12 similar event that had no such meaning.
- So one could be just an annoyance, and the other one
- 14 could be or is representing social disapproval. And,
- 15 obviously, they would be felt by the person as -- to be very
- 16 different.
- 17 \mathbb{Q} . Give us, if you would, a couple of examples of daily
- 18 | hassles the research has looked at in the context of prejudice
- 19 | events.
- 20 | A. Well, there are many. But, interestingly, I've read the
- 21 | plaintiffs' testimony here, I believe on Monday it was. I
- 22 mean, I read it on Tuesday, but the testimony was given on
- 23 Monday.
- 24 And I was really struck because one of the things
- 25 that we hear over and over is forms, filling out forms. And it

is kind of bewildering because, on one hand, you might say,
"What's the big deal about filling out a form?" But gay people
do respond to that.

2.0

And the only way that I can explain it is that it is really not anything about the form. It is that the form evokes something much larger for the person. It evokes a social disapproval, a rejection. And, often, it evokes memories of such events, including large events that have happened maybe in the past.

So it is this minor annoyance, most of the time, for most people, to fill out a form. And they probably would never remember that, if they were asked to talk about what has happened to them. They would mention major things.

But for gay people, I've seen this in -- brought up many times. There are other type of things that gay people report that, again, might be minor under some circumstances, such as maybe treated in a very unfriendly way by one's partners' parents.

And, certainly, it would not be a nice thing for anybody, but for a gay person that may have -- or that does have a very great social meaning of, again, echoing the rejection and disrespect and the -- they have felt in the past and they continue to feel in society.

So that is the relationship between the social meaning and those minor events.

Q. There was --

2.0

THE COURT: Dr. Meyer, you mentioned "forms." What kind of forms are you talking about?

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I mentioned the testimony that was given here, that they talked about forms.

What I mean by forms are just any kind of administrative forms that one might have to fill, and in particular where you have to fill your marital status, for example.

So a gay person, let's say -- you know, really, what they experience is: There is no place for me to put anything there.

So either they would say, "Well, I'm just going to say single, even though I've been in a relationship for the past 40 years, because I just don't want to get into that. In this case, it really doesn't matter. Maybe I'm in a motor vehicle office. And I don't want to get into this whole explanation with a clerk about what does it mean. "

Or there might be -- I think one of the plaintiffs mentioned crossing out things and writing in things. But my point is, obviously, this is not very demanding to cross out a form and say something else. And I would say if it was within any other context, nobody would remember that maybe the form was not very well-written and you had to correct something there. That would not be a memorable event.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

individual?

The only reason that it's memorable is because, as I said, of what it means. And what it means is social rejection. It echoes the kinds of rejections that I've been describing earlier. And, Dr. Meyer, to follow up on this, to be sure I understand, you might have applications like at a bank, to open an account, or a lease to get an apartment, or a job application. Is that the kind of form you're talking about, where there are boxes to describe your status, and not a box that corresponds to your status if you are not married? Absolutely. There was also some testimony on Monday, I believe, about hassles relating to travel, say, trying to check into a hotel room and get the type of room you reserved. Would that be --This is very similar, again, where to me it's not so much what happened, but what does it mean to you, to you as a gay person? So, again, a clerk in a hotel asking you about a king-size bed for any couple would really mean nothing. for a gay person, it's an area of great sensitivity because it really talks to their rejection and to their rejection of their family members, the people that they feel close to. Does the fact that you might draw in a box or ultimately get the right size bed make the problem go away for that

No, not at all. Because, again, it is not about anything 1 tangible here. It's not -- there's nothing really horrible 2 3 about filling out a form. Well -- some forms. 4 (Laughter) 5 Q. There can be. 6 But at least small forms. 7 But, again, it is not about that effort of the filling out a form or explaining even to a clerk something 8 about to clarify maybe some mistake. That is not what it's 9 about. It's about, I'm gay and I'm not accepted here. 10 You also talked, and I think, gave some specific examples 11 about nonevents. These, although they are called nonevents, 12 are also in the research treated as prejudice events? 13 Right. They are not all treated as a prejudice event, but 14 when they are related to prejudice then I would call them 15 16 prejudice nonevents. 17 But they are -- so, for example, somebody may not get a job promotion just because of all kinds of circumstances, 18 19 that maybe everybody expected them to get. So that may not be 2.0 due to prejudice. But it also could be due to prejudice. 21 Certainly, somebody might not marry for all kinds of reasons, not because of anybody blocking their access to the 22 23 institution of marriage but for whatever other circumstances in

But it still would be a nonevent that could be

their lives.

24

25

significant because other people will begin to ask: Well, are you married? Why aren't you married? Especially if they are of certain ethnic backgrounds where people ask questions like that.

So there's expectation that you will get married, that you will have children. And so when I talk about those as prejudice, it is when those things don't happen because of prejudice.

And, again, parallel to everything else I was saying, in this case, it would have that double meaning, both the impact of the actual event, the content of the actual event or, in this case, nonevent, such as not getting married.

But for gay men and lesbians, not getting married would also have that social meaning that I just described regarding daily hassles type of things, where not getting married is not just a simple -- it's not really simple either way. But it's not a fact of their life.

It's also a representation of their position in society, of the way society views them, of the kind of respect or, in this case, disrespect that they experience, of the stigma that I described earlier.

- Q. Now, Dr. Meyer, what, if anything, is the relationship between Proposition 8 and the denial of the right to marry on the one hand and prejudice events, as you described them?
- 25 A. Well, I think it is quite obvious that Proposition 8, by

definition, blocks the marriage institution for gay men and lesbians. This is basically what it says. 2 3 So, in that sense, it certainly will be responsible 4 for gay men and lesbian not marrying, and having to explain why I have not married. 5 6 And by explaining why I have not married, you also 7 have to explain, I'm really not seen as equal. I'm -- my status is -- is not respected by my state or by my country, by 8 my fellow citizens. So it's -- in the very basic definition of structural 10 stigma, it is a block on the way to achieving desirable goals 11 in life. 12 Now, you've already talked a little bit about some of the 13 plaintiff testimony on Monday. I was hoping that I could show 14 you a couple examples. 15 MR. DUSSEAULT: Do we have demonstrative 4 handy? 16 17 And, again, so that the record is clear so as to what 18 you are commenting on, let me read this testimony from 19 plaintiff Paul Katami. 2.0 "QUESTION: Have you experienced 21 discrimination as a result of being gay. 22 "ANSWER: One example that I remember very 23 clearly is the first time in college, with 24 some gay friends, going to my first gay 25 establishment, like a bar or a restaurant,

socially.

"And we were in an outdoor patio. And rocks and eggs came flying over the fence of the patio. We were struck by these rocks and eggs. And there were slurs. And, again, we couldn't see who the people were, but we were definitely hit. And it was a very sobering moment because I just accepted that as, well, that's part of our struggle. That's part of what we have to deal with."

BY MR. DUSSEAULT:

- Q. In the context of prejudice events, do you have a reaction to this example?
- A. Yes. And, as I said before, regarding form, this just seems like a very familiar type of report that a gay person might report.

And I don't -- I don't mean to tell the plaintiff that their experiences are not unique experiences. Certainly, within their life they are unique. But they are really not unique.

(Laughter)

Many people -- sorry. Many people experience those kind of things.

And I think when I read that what struck me most, almost, may be not what you would notice, but it is that point

about it was a very sobering moment. Because I think that refers to the registration about this is a meaningful point. 2 3 This is about who I am. This is something I have to get used 4 to. 5 When Mr. Katami talks about, well, that's part of our 6 struggle. It is really a moment where he describes recognizing 7 something that has to do with who he is as a gay person. But other elements of this would be that, clearly, I 8 would say, this was related to hate. In fact, when we assess 9 the -- by the way, when we collect those narratives in my 10 research, we go through a very, very tedious process of 11 analyzing each of those narratives so that we quantify some 12 qualities around them. 13 And one of the things we look at related to hate 14 crime. And we actually try to use some of the guidelines that 15 police use in determining hate crimes. 16 17 So, in this case, he mentioned being next to a gay establishment, which would be one element that would help in 18 19 determining a hate crime. 20 But there's something that I don't know here, for 21 example, whether someone was actually hurt, which would go to the issue of the magnitude. 22 23 But regardless of that, I think what is clear here, 24 that the meaning of this -- and I would dare say not having

talked to Mr. Katami and not really knowing anything behind

25

that -- that perhaps one of the main reasons that it's so memorable was because of that sobering moment, because of that 2 3 recognition: I am not the same as other people in society. 4 Somebody can come and just throw stones, or whatever it was, 5 and eggs on me, because they don't like that I am gay. 6 Q. When you were talking earlier about whether or not this 7 was unique, do you mean that this sort of example is, in your research, often relayed by gay men and lesbians? 8 Exactly. 9 Α. Let's put up a demonstrative 5, another example. And this 10 is testimony from another of our plaintiffs, Sandra Stier. 11 (Document displayed) 12 "QUESTION: Are there occasions where you 13 have to fill out forms that ask whether you 14 are married or name of spouse or things like 15 16 that? "ANSWER: Doctor's offices. Are you single 17 or are you married or are, you know, divorced 18 19 even? But, you know, so I have to find 2.0 myself, you know, scratching something out, 2.1 putting a line through it and saying 22 'domestic partner' and making sure I explain 23 to folks what that is, to make sure that our 24 transaction can go smoothly." We talked a good bit about forms already, but what's 25

your reaction?

A. Again, that's an example of this form.

But, you know, you have to think -- or I guess you have to ask yourself, why would a person remember that type of minor incident? And, as I mentioned before, I think the meaning of this incident is more important than, in this case, what has actually happened.

So, like I said, if there was some error on this form, where it says "Mr." or "Mrs." and somehow the words were not clear and she had to fix that, I don't think she would have reported that as a major -- something that she remembers.

But I think it is, again, the message that the forms, in a sense, echoes about rejection and about I'm not equal to other people, to most people who fill this form.

- Q. So let's move to the second process you talked about, expectations of rejection and discrimination. What do you mean by that?
- A. Expectations of rejection and discrimination actually mean exactly what it says. Expecting rejection and discrimination.

But this is a very -- well, to me, interesting process that occurs in populations that are -- that are used to prejudice. By "used" I mean that they know about the prejudice that exists in society.

And what happens is that a person who knows that they might be rejected or discriminated against needs to maintain a

certain vigilance about their interactions in society that would, first of all, guarantee their safety.

So an example that I often use when I talk about this is, a gay couple walking down the street. In my experience, very often, regardless of how friendly their street is, they would have to monitor the kind of affection that they display with each other because perhaps somebody will come and throw stones and eggs, and so forth, because they bring up something the person doesn't like. And, again, it's not something about them as individuals, but about the fact that they are representing -- sorry, presenting as gay.

So this would be one type of, as I call it, vigilance, that you have to be on edge; you have to watch; you have to have a third eye, looking, monitoring your environment.

And that is a very stressful thing, if you think about it, that many people don't have to think about any of that when they walk down the street with their partners.

- Q. Now, does the impact of expectation of rejection, discrimination go away if the rejection or discrimination doesn't happen?
- A. Well, that's another interesting thing about expectation of rejection and discrimination, is that nothing really has to happen. And not only that, the persons involved in the -- in that environment may themselves not at all hold any negative attitudes.

So in the sense it is the expectation is not that this particular person may harm me. It is that what I represent may trigger in somebody. And it could be this person, but maybe it's not. So it doesn't have to be about anything specific about the persons involved in this interaction.

2.0

I often give the example of being in a job interview and having to kind of monitor maybe how your -- what you're saying. And it doesn't mean -- it doesn't matter what the people interviewing you actually think. It is that you're expecting that, that matters. That is what is stressful here.

In addition to issues of safety, there are, as I just alluded to, issues around social intercourse, where -- since it can just be very embarrassing or awkward.

And we know that from stress literature, generally, many times people either choose to avoid those situations, swallow kind of minor incidents of prejudice or slurs, or something, and just kind of move on because they don't want to get into that, so to speak.

But the anticipation itself is what I'm talking about as stressful. You know, whether or not something happens, that has to do with a life event. But here we are just talking about that anticipation.

Q. So what if somebody, concerned about having to be vigilant on the street, just stays inside and doesn't go out, does that

solve the problem for them?

A. Well, that would be quite a severe punishment for that person.

(Laughter)

- Q. Is there a relationship, as you see it, Dr. Meyer, between Proposition 8's denial of the opportunity to marry and this expectation of rejection and discrimination?
- 8 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

- **Q.** What is that connection?
- A. Well, as I described earlier, in my mind, the
 Proposition 8, in its social meaning, sends a message that gay
 relationships are not to be respected; that they are of
 secondary value, if of any value at all; that they are
 certainly not equal to those of heterosexuals.
 - And, to me, that's -- in addition to achieving the literal aims of not allowing gay people to marry, it also sends a strong message about the values of the state; in this case, the Constitution itself. And it sends a message that would, in my mind, encourage or at least is consistent with holding prejudicial attitudes.
 - So that doesn't add up to a very welcoming environment.
- Q. Let's talk about the third process you identify, which I think you described as concealing the stigmatizing identity.
- 25 **A.** Yes.

Q. Can you elaborate on that.

2.0

A. Yes. If I may just mention one more concept that is related to the stress, as we call it, the stress process, because it's relevant here.

And that is the concept of coping. Coping is part of the stress process. And when we assess how does a stress affect the outcome, as I mentioned earlier, of health outcome, we really look at the balance between the stress impact and what we call coping.

There's a whole bunch of stuff that goes into coping. People talk about social support. But it is anything that we can say is positive impact on the health, that counters the negative impact of the stressor.

The reason I bring it up here, because interesting thing -- so concealing means I'm not going to reveal to other people that I am gay or lesbian. I'm going to hide that fact.

But the interesting relationship with coping is that people conceal, usually, as a coping effort. They conceal so that they avoid some of the things that I described earlier, so that they are not fired from their job.

If you're in the United States military, by law you have to conceal, in that you are not allowed to talk about your homosexuality.

So they conceal as an effort to -- in this case, if you are gay and you are in the military, you would conceal so

that you don't get fired.

But there are many other types of instances where people might find the need to conceal their sexual orientation. They might conceal it because they feel that they will be rejected if other people knew that they were gay.

They may conceal it because of their personal safety, in the similar way that I described hate crimes, that they don't want people to recognize them as gay.

They might not want to go to a place that is recognized as gay, for fear that somebody might either hurt them, physically hurt them or in other ways hurt them.

So there are reasons that people choose to conceal what they, themselves, know about themselves, that they are gay or lesbian.

And what the stress process here talks -- so this is -- but what the stress process is, is that there are many ways that this kind of concealment are stressful. And I've written about, at least, maybe, three ways.

And, again, all of this comes from research and literature that is not specific to this topic or to gay population. This is basing it on general literature in various fields. In this case, mostly psychology.

So, if you want, I can tell you about the particular ways that concealing can be stressful.

Q. If you could briefly just identify what those ways are, it

would be helpful.

2.0

A. So one way is that concealing requires, actually, a very strong cognitive effort. By "cognitive" I mean the way we think or the way your mind works.

So there's a stress that is involved with concealing, because you have to really work hard on this. It's not something that is -- you know, if you're lying, it's not that easy, always, to keep a lie and to keep it, certainly, for a long period of time.

So there is research that has been done about that, that shows that this is, in fact, a very difficult type of thing.

I know, for example -- well, I brought up the example of the military. If you are in the military and you live your life there, and you have to talk to your comrades -- and people talk about, maybe, their girlfriend and boyfriend or whatever. And gay people have been known to maybe change a pronoun, kind of as a way of monitoring that, and say, "Yeah, my girlfriend," but you really mean your boyfriend. But, you know, this takes a lot of coordination. And, you know, you have to remember what you said the week before. It's all a lie.

So people have actually studied this with -- in other context, as I said. There's a couple of researchers that refer to that. Their respondents that they were studying said, "This is a private hell," just the effort of concealing.

Q. The work that's involved?

- A. The cognitive effort. And they describe in great detail the cognitive work that goes into concealing. In this case, it was in the work environment.
 - Q. Can I ask a follow-up. In addition to that, does the person who conceals also lose benefits that he or she might receive if he or she were able to express their true self?
 - A. Right. So that's another way that concealment is damaging and stressful. So, actually, there's several benefits that are associated with that.

The first one is that concealing prevents you from what we call or what people call in psychology "expressed emotion."

Expressed emotion is very simply that you're expressing your emotion. But it doesn't have to be any deep emotion, just expressing something about yourself. And that has been shown to be a very positive, psychologically, thing to do.

In fact, people have used it as a form of therapy, to improve people's mental health. They have used it, for example, in cancer patients, and shown that just writing something, about expressing something not even very intimate, is very helpful psychologically.

So, certainly, hiding something and hiding something that is perceived as being such a core thing about who you are,

this is how people talk about: This is who I am.

2.0

That doesn't mean that gay people are just that. But it is a central identity that is important. And if you want to express who you are, certainly, you wouldn't want to hide that part.

There's related to that, also, concept of authenticity, of living an authentic life. And, certainly, people feel better, in a kind of existential way, by just presenting themselves as they are to the world and in interactions with the world.

- Q. Does concealment impact a gay man or lesbian's ability to obtain social support?
- A. Exactly. As I mentioned earlier, one of the important mechanisms around stress and illness is the ability of people to cope with stress.

And one of the beneficial -- I'm sorry, one of the beneficial ways people cope with stress is through social support. For example, through having a network of friends that you can talk about or an intimate friend that you can talk about things.

There are also things that happen through -- for gay people, specifically, what we call affiliation with the gay community. There are things that maybe you feel maybe other people don't understand, but if you go to a certain community center, or to a center -- sorry, to an event that maybe is like

a gay pride, that you get certain benefits from being in that environment that maybe you don't get in other places.

And, certainly, if you are concealing your gay identity, you are not going to walk into a gay community center or gay pride event.

And, finally, related to that, and especially of concern to me being in public health, in terms of health services, there are many health services that are provided that would provide, I would say, more targeted services to gay and lesbian populations that are more both informed from a medical perspective, for example, about the needs of gay men and lesbians, and also that maybe provide a more welcoming environment.

And that, too, will be something that a person who conceals his or her gay identity would not be able to benefit from.

So both are affected by the negatives but also from the prevention of the positive type of things that they could have had.

- Q. Now, one point I want to clarify here. Can concealment be absolute in nature? Meaning the person doesn't tell anyone, ever, what their identity is?
- A. I guess it could be. I don't think that -- certainly, it doesn't have to be that. And I would think that many people, even if they, for example, conceal at work, they might have

some friends that they may have confided with.

2.0

There's also concealment that will carry more kind of momentary nature, that is not as long-lasting as I was describing. And that, too, can have -- certainly, is not a pleasant experience. You know, again, because of the notion that you're really prevented from expressing something about yourself that you don't feel that you should.

But the reason that you're concealing it is because, again, of the significance of rejection of the region of disrespect that you would feel if you were to reveal this.

So it is not just a simple issue.

Q. Let me try and clarify the question. I believe there was some testimony from one of the plaintiffs on Monday about knowing that he was gay at a very, very young age, but not coming out, if you will, to anyone until about 25.

Is that a form of concealment?

- A. Sounds like it. And to the extent that he knew that he was gay, or he identified as gay at some earlier point, and recognized or feared, at least, that if he were to reveal this or express this about himself would -- would lead to, again, rejection, discrimination, to losing maybe a relationship.

 Again, this is, I presume, what the person expected, and that was the motivation to maybe not to reveal his sexual orientation.
- Q. Okay. But, alternatively, if somebody, let's say, were

open with family or friends, but in particular circumstances chooses to conceal or lie about his or her orientation, just to avoid having to deal with it, is that also --

2.0

A. That's another example. As I said, you know, because of Don't Ask, Don't Tell, obviously, if you're there you will have to conceal. But only in that environment.

And you might be able to, on home leave, go back and be your partner or with some friends. Certainly, you're not going to want to march in a gay pride parade. So there will be, still, some monitoring, but it doesn't have to be absolute.

- Q. Dr. Meyer, do you see a connection between the concealment process and Proposition 8 in its denial of marriage rights?
- A. Well, again, to the extent that we see Proposition 8 as part of the stigma, as something that propagates the stigma, it certainly doesn't send a message that: It's okay. You can be who you want to be. You know, we respect that. We welcome you as part of the community.

It sends the opposite message, in my mind, and, therefore, would -- I would think, add to that pressure, to that social environment that encourages people, some people, to conceal.

And, also, when I talk about those effects of Proposition 8, by the way, they don't only affect gay people. They also send the same message to other people who are not themselves gay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

So, in that sense, it's not just damaging to gay people because they feel bad about their rejection. It also sends a message that it is okay to reject. Not only that it is okay, that this is very highly valued by our Constitution to reject gay people, to designate them a different class of people in terms of their intimate relationships. I'd like to show you another example of testimony from our plaintiffs. This coming from Kristin Perry testimony that was given on Monday. Again, I'll read it. "QUESTION: Do you, as you go through life every day, feel that -- the other effects of discrimination on the basis of your sexual orientation? "ANSWER: Every day. "QUESTION: Tell us about that. "ANSWER: I have to decide every day if I want to come out everywhere I go and take the chance that somebody will have a hostile reaction to my sexuality, or just go there and buy the microwave we went there to buy, without having to go through that again. And the decision every day to come out or not come out at work, at home, at PTA, at music, at soccer, is exhausting. So much of the time I just choose to do as much of that as I

can handle doing in any given day."

2.0

Do you have a reaction to that testimony?

A. Yeah. I think that, again, demonstrates several of the things I have already mentioned, including the expectations of rejection and the need to monitor and maybe sometimes the need to decide: Is it worth it? Do I want to get into this whole thing or just avoid it? But, also, the repetition of it, like how it really is in so many contexts.

But I have to say, the word that most jumped at me in this -- it might be not the word that jumped at other people -- is the word "exhausting."

And the reason that it jumped at me is because "exhausting" has a special meaning in stress research. In fact, one of the earliest example of stress research was done by a researcher by the name of Hans Selye, S-e-l-y-e.

And he described something that he called the general adaptation syndrome. He studied animals. But his general adaptation syndrome, basically, echoes what I was just describing. There is a stressor, there is a coping. Which he didn't call "coping," but it's some adjustment period.

But, in his words, the end of that was exhaustion. So that the result of the stress process was exhaustion. And he studied animals, and in many case death of those animals that he studied.

So when I saw that, that's kind of what it brought to

my mind, is Selye's general adaptation syndrome.

2.0

Q. Let's turn, Dr. Meyer, to the fourth process you described, which you described as internalized homophobia.

Tell me what you mean by that.

A. So, again, that's a word that has been discussed in different forms, but it really relates to the same thing in the different form, that it has been discussed in the literature.

As again, I mentioned, I used existing literature and in terms homophobia has been something that has been discussed a lot in clinical and psychological research, people who talked about how to treat gay patients.

And one of the things they noted is that perhaps a very central aspect of treating people who are troubled by whatever symptom that brought them to therapy, is internalized homophobia. Internalized homophobia refers to the person who is gay or lesbian basically internalizing or taking in negative attitudes, negative notions that are existing in society that he or she has learned through their -- what we call socialization process, through their growing up in our society.

And, of course, it is not only gay -- as I said earlier, gay men and lesbians who learn those negative attitudes. Those are prevalent attitudes.

So in learning those attitudes one might learn -- you know, if they read this book by Rubin that I mentioned about what gay relationships might be.

2.0

And then at some age the person begins to think or realize or recognize or whatever way this happens, Well, I'm gay. So the natural thing is that everything that everything that I've learned about what it is to be gay, that must be what I am. And, therefore, if I was impacted by this quote from Rubin, for example, I would say that it will be quite devastating to a young -- or, really, not only young person. If they believe that and thought, Well, this is what is in my future.

Q. Now, when you use the word "internalized homophobia" here, do you mean specifically that the person internalizes a fear of themselves --

A. No, at all. When I use the word "homophobia," I use it in the sense of negative attitudes. Maybe something that is akin to racism or sexism. Just -- and people use other words, but I use that word because -- well, I have my reasons. I don't know if you want to hear them.

It's a word that is recognizable. It's a word that is in the dictionary, and I find it just as good a word as some other words that have been proposed.

But it basically relates to the negative attitudes that are prevalent in society about gay men and lesbian or about homosexuality in general.

Q. Now, within the context of internalized homophobia, are you aware of a concept called the possible self?

- A. Yes, I am. And it's not exactly within the -- it's,

 again, another concept, a theory that I have used, borrowed, to

 explain some of those processes as they pertain to internalized

 homophobia.
 - **Q.** And what does it mean?

2.0

A. So possible self is a psychological concept that, again, I did not invent, unfortunately, because it is a very renowned work.

And it basically relates to something very interesting, which is that whoever we are -- and it really relates to any age -- we don't only look at where we are and where we were in our past, but we also project into what we might become.

So this is what they call the possible self. What would possibly could I become or what are the possibilities for me? Maybe you can talk about it like that.

And the work on that showed that this is a very important construct, not only because it actually helps people chart a life course of goals and so forth. It doesn't have to be, like, super articulated, like a whole life plan. Just, you know, like I mentioned earlier. I will be a mother, you know, things like that.

So the possible self is not only important because of how it projects to the future and how it maybe helps a person think about the future. It is also related to what people feel

right now. And having a -- obviously, a more optimistic notion of their future will be associated with feeling better about who you are.

And the opposite of that feeling, that you will be blocked from an achieving goals, obviously, will be associated with what we call a lower sense of well-being and maybe just negative feelings about who you are and about your position.

- Q. And does internalized homophobia lead to a limitation on one's concept of a possible self?
- A. Right. I'm sorry.

2.0

So the relationship is that internalized homophobia speaks very directly to that notion of possible self, because internalized homophobia conveys that there are certain attitudes, certain stereotypes -- negative attitudes, that is -- in the way that gay people have been portrayed, as I described earlier, related to social stigma, related to cultural portrayal, such as the Rubin, but, certainly, it is just one example. So if you internalize that, you think this is who I'm going to be in the future.

I mean, of course, it is not as simplistic as that, but that part of that is about, How do I see my future? How do I see my prospects for the future? Who will I become?

And we have seen that actually in some research. Gay and lesbian youth had a harder time projecting to the future because they have learned those kind of negative attitudes.

In fact, they have had a harder time -- so at a very young age children -- you know, the most accessible type of possible self, I think, is the kind of family relation that one describes. You know, a very young age people might -- sorry, little kids might play and say, "I am the wife" and "I am the mother," things like that.

So for gay youth or gay people, really, at whatever age they begin to grapple with those issues, this is -- this is

age they begin to grapple with those issues, this is -- this is a difficulty. You know, they have to think, well, how would I be, because is it true that, you know, gay -- homosexuals are not happy together?

You have to begin to, in a sense, undo some of those effects and in a sense relearn. And that was part of what the therapists were talking about, to relearn better attitudes about yourself and about what it is like to be gay.

Q. Dr. Meyer, I would like to show you -- if we could have demonstrative eight -- another example of testimony from Monday from our plaintiffs. Again, from Kristin Perry.

"QUESTION: What does the institution of marriage mean to you? Why do you want that? "ANSWER: Well, I have never really let myself want it until now. Growing up as a lesbian, you don't let yourself want it, because everyone tells you you are never going to have it."

Do you have a reaction to that?

A. I think that is a pretty perfect example of what I was just describing, where the person recognizing herself, in this case as a lesbian, applies those notions that some of those things that are relevant to other people, such as marriage here, do not apply to me. I can't hope for that. That is not part of my possible self.

And, I guess, she is implying here, presumably because of her being a plaintiff, at some point she began to recognize that, yes, this is something that I could possibly get access to as well. So that's exactly the process I was describing earlier.

Q. I would like to move to your third and final opinion that you referenced earlier having to do with health outcomes.

You have described the stigma attached to being lesbian and gay and the role of minority stress in the lives of gay men and lesbians.

Does that stigma and minority stress, according to the research, have an impact or effect on health outcomes for gay men and lesbians?

A. Yes.

2.0

- 22 Q. What is that impact?
- 23 A. Well, as I mentioned earlier, this entire endeavor, this
 24 whole stress process that I described, its purpose is to study
 25 health determinants, as we call it, of health, the causes of

health and disease. And there's been literally hundreds of studies that studied different aspects of this and how it is associated with health outcomes.

And we know that for gay men and lesbians and, also, bisexuals, there has been shown a relationship between experiencing those kinds of stressors and negative health outcome or adverse health outcomes.

In my area of study those were mental disorders, such as -- there are three classes that we usually study in community studies. Those are anxiety disorders, mood disorders, such as depression, substance use disorders. It is a -- classify disorders. There are also just what we would call general distress or just feeling something, blue and sad, things like that. So there are a variety of outcomes that have been studied.

On the other side of it, there's also been health behaviors that are associated with stress, and this minority stress; for example, excess smoking, certain eating behavior, drinking.

Again, this is true for the general stress literature, as well as for gay and lesbian populations, with, I guess, the point being that gay and lesbian populations are exposed to more of the stress and -- to distress, which is unique and additive to kind of the general stress that, as I mentioned earlier, everybody experiences. And, therefore, that

excess risk, as we call in epidemiological language, that excess risk is associated with excess disease or disorder or whatever the outcome is.

2.0

So as I said, it could be disorders. It could also be generalized distress.

We have also studied something that's called well-being, which is -- some people refer to as a positive mental health.

And there has also been studies that show excess in suicide attempts, in particular, in youth.

- Q. And, Dr. Meyer, does the research show that stigma and the minority stress that you talked about contributes to a higher incidence of these adverse mental health consequences or the attempted suicide you talk about in the gay and lesbian population than in the population at large?
- A. Yes. So we look at the relationship between excess risk and -- to see whether it is related to excess in outcome, as we said, of the disease that we are studying. And there has been pretty consistent findings that show excess disorder or higher level of disorder in gay and lesbian populations as compared to heterosexuals.
- 22 Q. I want to be sure we are being clear on a couple of points.

Are you saying that being gay or lesbian is in and of itself in any way a mental illness?

2.0

A. No, not at all. What I'm saying is that there's risks that is associated with those social arrangement, with the social situation that I described as stigma and prejudice. And that excess risk is related to excess, as we call it, disorder or to an outcome. It leads to a certain outcome.

And because it is excess, it leads to more of the population that is exposed to the risk.

But when we study disorders and risk and outcome relationships, it is never expected that everybody who is exposed to a risk is, therefore, diseased somehow.

I mean, even in the area of stress, people who are exposed to the most severe type of stressors, like extreme stressors we call them, like war, doesn't mean that all of them are, therefore, going to be affected with a disease such as PTSD.

What we look at is excess and relationship between populations. As I said before, I studied patterns of diseases, so we want to see does this population have more of this risk and more of this disease. I don't know if it's clear.

Q. And a related point I just want to be clear on.

Are you saying that all gay men and lesbians suffer from some form of adverse mental health consequences or even that most do?

A. No. Again, what we look to see is whether this exposure is related to the outcome among some people.

2.0

I guess another analogy would be when we look at smoking and lung cancer. So we want to see, do people who smoke have more lung cancer than people who don't smoke? And that would indicate one indication of the association between those two, but it actually is not the fact that everybody who smokes gets lung cancer.

Going back to the gay and lesbian population, most gay men and lesbians are not disordered, but there is an excess in that population as compared to heterosexuals.

- Q. Do you have a view as to whether the incidents of adverse health consequences of the type that you are describing would be less if we could find a way to reduce the stigma and minority stress experienced by gay men and lesbians?
- A. Yes, I think that it stems from everything that I was saying. When we see people have more of this exposure, they have more of the disorder; and people who have less of this exposure, have less of the disorder.

So, for example, if we study within a group of -- we all them respondents, study participants. And we see that some people may have had a lot of those life events and they were of great magnitude. And then we see that they have more of the outcome that we're studying, maybe depression.

And then we see that some other people, for many reasons, didn't have that exposure. Maybe for particular circumstances in their own environment they were protected from

that or whatever other reasons. And we see that they have fewer -- a lower level of this disorder. 2 So that indicates that more of those stressors are 3 4 associated with more of the disease, and by definition less of 5 those stressors would be associated with less of that disease, 6 or the diseases that are affected by those. 7 Dr. Meyer, are you familiar with something called Healthy People 2010? 8 Yes. 9 Α. What is that? 10 Q. We actually refer to that as Healthy People twenty-ten. 11 12 (Laughter.) MR. DUSSEAULT: I stand corrected. 13 BY MR. DUSSEAULT: 14 And what is Healthy People 2010? 15 So, just if you tell people Healthy People two thousand 16 and ten, they would probably not know what you are talking 17 about. We just call it Healthy People twenty-ten. 18 19 Healthy People is a project of the federal government 2.0 organized or, I quess, I would say led by the Department of 21 Health and Human Services. And it is the plan for the nation's health for the decade that is coming up. So, actually, right 22 23 now we will be looking for Healthy People 2020. 24 So Healthy People 2010 is the plan for the health of

the nation for the decade that started in 2000 and, obviously,

is ending now. 1 MR. DUSSEAULT: Could we put demonstrative three up? 2 3 (Document displayed) 4 BY MR. DUSSEAULT: 5 Q. Do you have that in front of you, sir? 6 Α. Yes. 7 Q. And this is text from Healthy People 2010? Yes. And can I explain something about it? 8 Sure. 9 Q. Okay. So Healthy People 2010, the Department of Health 10 and Human Services and many, many -- this is a very long 11 process that involves -- I don't know for exact, but many, 12 many, many professionals and researchers and so forth, both in 13 government and outside of government. 14 And so the main goals that the United States set up 15 for itself in terms of health of the nation, one of the main 16 goals was to reduce health disparities. Health disparities 17 refer to differences between one population to another 18 population where one population has more in excess of any kind 19 of disorder, whether it's a mental or physical disorder. 2.0 21 And this is a section from Healthy People 2010 that describes one of those populations, which is a population 22 23 defined by sexual orientation, and it has identified them as 24 a -- one of our nation's goals to reduce disparities associated 25 with -- in the health of gay and lesbian populations as

compared to heterosexuals. So that's what this is. Okay. And let me just read so, again, the record is clear 2 3 what you are looking at. It says: 4 "Sexual orientation. America's gay and 5 lesbian population comprises a diverse 6 community with disparate health concerns. 7 Major health issues for gay men are HIV/Aids and other sexually transmitted diseases, 8 substance abuse, depression and suicide. Gay 9 male adolescents are two to three times more 10 likely than their peers to attempt suicide. 11 Some evidence suggests lesbians have higher 12 rates of smoking, overweight, alcohol abuse, 13 and stress than heterosexual women." 14 And then we have highlighted the last sentence. 15 16 "The issues surrounding personal, family, and social acceptance of sexual orientation can 17 18 place a significant burden on mental health 19 and personal safety." In your view, is this finding from Healthy People 2.0 2.1 2010 relevant to your own opinion as to health outcomes and the relationship to stigma and minority stress? 22 23 I think it basically describes what I was talking about 24 today, and this is pretty much what I describing. 25 MR. DUSSEAULT: Okay. Can we also show the chart?

Do we have the chart?

(Document displayed)

BY MR. DUSSEAULT:

2.0

Q. As we are reaching the end here, I want to just put a chart up here, which begins with social structure and then has a box on top, "Coping Resources," the top in the middle. And then bottom middle, "Stress (General and Prejudice-related)."

And then on the right "Health Outcomes (Disease)."

Can you explain what this chart depicts?

A. This is a very, very schematic, simple way of basically demonstrating the causal chain that I was describing to you today that goes from the left to the right, with the health outcomes being our outcome of interest.

The social structure and social status are here to the left as determinants of stressors that people experience, as well as coping resources.

What we mean by that is that stress and coping resources are not randomly assigned to people in society, but they depend on their own social structures.

And it could mean something simple as if you are employed, you can get fired from your job. But if you are not employed, obviously, you cannot have that kind of event. So events do not just happen in a random order.

Specifically to the topics that I was discussing today, what it shows is the social status and the stigma lead

to exposure to specific stress -- stressors, such as the ones that I described that I call minority stress.

And I described here both general and prejudice-related to indicate that everybody experiences general stressors, as I described them, or just plain stress, and then there is added prejudice-related stress.

And on the top, "Coping Resources" relates to what I was describing before as the protective role of coping. And in coping -- all of this is very simplistic, but there are a lot more behind each of those boxes, as we just discussed at length; the stress, for example.

There is a lot more that can be said about coping, for example, and social support is part of that. And it basically shows what we look for is how does this whole process affect health outcomes.

Q. Dr. Meyer, I want to ask you one last thing as we close here.

Do you have a view as to whether the mental health outcomes of gay men and lesbians in California would improve if Prop 8 were not the law of California and gay men and lesbians were permitted to marry?

A. I do.

2.0

- **Q.** What is that view?
- 24 A. I think consistent with everything that I have said, and consistent with my work on the relevance of the social

environment of social structures, and consistent with findings that show that when people are exposed to more stress, they fare worse than when they are exposed to less stress.

I think that if California -- and, also, consistent with the things I said earlier in terms of the proscriptive elements of Proposition 8, of the law having a constitutional amendment that basically says, you know, to gay people, you are not welcome here, that the opposite of that clearly would send a positive message. You are welcome here. Your relationships are valued. You are valued. We don't approve with rejection -- sorry. We don't approve rejection of you as a gay person as a state. And that has a very significant power.

As we all know, the law in the state is a very important party to creating the social environment. So clearly it's not the only thing that determines even experiences of prejudice and discrimination, but it is certainly a very major player, major factor, in creating this social environment that I described as prejudicial or stigmatizing.

Q. Thank you, Dr. Meyer.

MR. DUSSEAULT: Your Honor, I have nothing further at this time.

THE COURT: Very well. Why don't we take 10 minutes, counsel, to get ready for cross-examination.

We seem to be falling a little bit behind our schedule and so I'm going to suggest, if it's agreeable with

counsel, that we go a bit past 4:30 so that we can get in today everything that we had anticipated getting in. 2 3 Does that sound reasonable? MR. BOUTROUS: That sounds great, your Honor. 4 5 THE COURT: Very well, good. (Whereupon there was a recess in the proceedings 6 7 from 2:58 p.m. until 3:17 p.m.) THE COURT: Mr. Boies? 8 9 MR. BOIES: Your Honor, to perhaps allay some concerns to the Court about our pace, as I just explained to 10 counsel for the defendants, we believe that we are on pace to 11 finish Wednesday of this coming week. That is, we believe that 12 we will be able to complete our case using tomorrow, Tuesday 13 and Wednesday. 14 15 THE COURT: Okay. MR. BOIES: And that is true even if we do not do 16 17 Ms. Zia today. I had told the Court that we had hoped to get Ms. Zia in today; but even if we don't get her in today, we're 18 19 still on target to finish on Wednesday. 2.0 THE COURT: Well, that's fine. Is that a suggestion 21 that we not go beyond 4:00 o'clock? 22 MR. BOIES: No, your Honor, it's not, but I did 23 want -- having consulted with counsel for defendants, I think 24 their cross may very well take us somewhat beyond 4:00 o'clock. 25 And I just wanted the Court to know that we could go longer,

```
and Ms. Zia is here, or we could go with Ms. Zia sometime
    tomorrow.
 2
              THE COURT: Well, let's just see how far we get and
 3
 4
   if we can certainly finish Mr. Meyer, that would be most
 5
   helpful, and if we can get in Ms. Zia, that's all to the
 6
   better. But let's take one step at a time.
 7
             MR. BOIES: Thank you, your Honor.
              THE COURT: Cross examine.
 8
 9
             MR. NIELSON: Yes, thank you. Good afternoon, your
   Honor.
10
11
                           CROSS EXAMINATION
   BY MR. NIELSON:
12
        Good afternoon, Professor Meyer.
13
   A. Good afternoon.
14
              THE COURT: You are?
15
              MR. NIELSON: Howard Nielson for the
16
   Defendant-Intervenors.
17
   BY MR. NIELSON:
18
         I have already put a witness binder on your stand.
19
    should have that, and it should also have been given to the
2.0
    Court. And I think we have a couple of witness binders for
21
22
    opposing counsel as well.
23
              Professor Meyer, could you turn to tab one of the
   witness binder?
24
25
              (Witness complied.)
```

```
1
   Α.
         Yes.
 2
         Thank you. You will find an exhibit there, a document
    Q.
 3
    there pre-marked PX 934.
 4
   Α.
         Yes.
         Can you identify this document?
 5
               It's a research article by Evelyn Hooker published,
 6
   A.
         Yes.
 7
    I believe, in 1954 or so.
         Are you familiar with this study?
 8
 9
   Α.
         Yes.
         Thank you.
10
    Q.
              Now, in his expert report Professor Herek said:
11
12
              "This is now considered a classic study in
13
              one of the first methodologically rigorous
              examinations of the mental health status of
14
15
              homosexuality."
              Are you familiar with Professor Herek?
16
17
         Yes.
   A.
         Do you agree with that characterization of the study?
18
    Q.
         Can you repeat just the characterization?
19
    Α.
         Yes. He said:
2.0
    Q.
              "It is now considered a classic study and one
21
              of the first methodologically rigorous
22
              examinations of the mental health status of
23
              homosexuality."
24
25
         Yes.
```

Now, according to Professor Herek, quote: 1 Q. "Dr. Evelyn Hooker administered a battery of 2 3 widely-used psychological tests to groups of homosexual and heterosexual males who were 4 5 matched for age, I.Q. and education. The men 6 were recruited from non-clinical settings. 7 None of the men was in therapy at the time of the study. The heterosexual and homosexual 8 9 groups did not differ significantly in their overall psychological adjustment as rated by 10 independent experts who were unaware of each 11 man's sexual orientation." 12 Do you agree with that description of the study's 13 results? 14 15 Yes. Is there not some tension between Dr. Hooker's conclusions 16 and your opinions that LGB individuals suffer from a higher 17 prevalence of adverse mental health outcomes than 18 heterosexuals? 19 Not at all. 2.0 Please turn to tab three in the witness binder. 21 22 (Witness complied.) And you will see a document that is premarked DIX-1247. 23 24 THE COURT: By the way, are you moving in 934, or has 25 it already come in?

```
1
             MR. NIELSON: I'm not sure, but I will ask that I --
    that that be admitted.
 2
              THE COURT: All right. 934 is admitted.
 3
 4
             MR. DUSSEAULT: No objection.
              (Defendants' Exhibit 934 received in evidence)
 5
 6
             MR. NIELSON: And I apologize for not doing that at
 7
   the first.
   BY MR. NIELSON:
 8
 9
        Okay, your Honor -- excuse me, Professor Meyer. Now, can
   you identify this article.
10
        Which exhibit is it?
11
        Tab three. It's exhibit DIX-1247.
12
   A. Okay. Yes, this is my article.
13
        And, in fact, it's the same article that you talked about
14
   Q.
   on your direct examination, correct?
15
        Correct.
16
17
             MR. NIELSON: And I happened to hear -- both
   defendants and plaintiffs separately designated this. I have
18
   my copy in front of me. I will move it into evidence, just as
19
   an abundance of caution in case --
2.0
21
             MR. DUSSEAULT: No objection.
22
              THE COURT: Okay. It came in, however, as
   Plaintiffs' --
23
24
             MR. NIELSON: It's PX 1003, your Honor.
25
              THE COURT: Fine. Thank you. We will refer to it as
```

that. MR. NIELSON: All right. 2 3 BY MR. NIELSON: Now, I would like you to look at page 683 of the article, 4 and that's going by the pagination from the journal that it was 5 6 published in. 7 A. Yes. I'm going to read to you just a few passages from this 8 9 page just to explore -- explore your opinions that you expressed in this article. 10 The very first, the top of the first column you 11 write: 12 13 "Despite a long history of interest in the prevalence of mental disorders among gay men 14 and lesbians, methodologically sound 15 epidemiological studies are rare. The 16 interest in mental health of lesbians and gay 17 men has been clouded by shifts in the social 18 environment within which it was embedded. 19 Before the 1973 declassification of 2.0 21 homosexuality as a mental disorder, gay affirmative psychologists and psychiatrists 22 sought to refute arguments that homosexuality 23 should remain a classified disorder by 24 showing that homosexuals were not more likely 25

```
to be mentally ill than heterosexuals."
 1
 2
              Now, you wrote that, correct?
 3
   Α.
         Yes.
 4
   Q.
         And you believe that's correct?
 5
   A.
         Yes.
 6
    Q.
         Okay. Thank you.
 7
              Now, skip down to the next paragraph. About the
   middle of the paragraph it's -- it says, "In the social
 8
   atmosphere of the time." Do you see that line? I'm going to
    read that. It's about the middle of the next --
10
         Yes.
11
    Α.
         (As read)
12
    Q.
13
              "In the social atmosphere of the time,
              research findings were interpreted by gay
14
              affirmative researchers conservatively so as
15
              to not erroneously suggest that lesbians and
16
17
              gay men had high prevalences of disorder."
              Now, again, you wrote that, correct?
18
19
         Yes.
   Α.
         And you agree with that?
20
   Q.
         I wrote the entire article.
21
22
         Yes, okay.
    Q.
23
              (Laughter.)
24
         Then you are different from some of the professors I had.
25
         I'm sorry. I don't mean to...
```

```
1
         All right. And then -- now, at the bottom that paragraph
    it says:
 2
              "Thus, most reviewers have concluded that
 3
 4
              research evidence has conclusively shown that
 5
              homosexuals did not have abnormally elevated
 6
              psychiatric symptomatology compared with
 7
              heterosexuals. This conclusion has been
              widely accepted and has been often restated
 8
 9
              in most current psychological and psychiatric
              literature."
10
              Correct?
11
         Yes.
12
13
         Now, you believe that this quote "widely accepted," and
    "often restated view" is incorrect?
14
         Do I believe that that --
15
         This "widely accepted" and "often restated view" is
16
17
   incorrect?
         I believe that it was, as I said here -- you mean --
18
         The view that homosexuals did not have abnormally elevated
19
   psychiatric symptomatology compared with heterosexuals; that
2.0
2.1
   you said that view is widely accepted and often restated.
22
              Do you believe that view is incorrect?
23
         I said that it was in the past.
24
         Okay, it was in the past.
25
              My question, though, is: Do you believe that is
```

incorrect, that view? I have to explain the context of those studies, because --2 3 Q. I'm sorry. I am going to move things along. You had a 4 chance to explain your views at length on direct. 5 Α. Right. 6 And if opposing counsel thinks it is necessary, you can 7 have an opportunity on redirect, but right now I really just want to know "yes" or "no." 8 Do you believe that view -- that past view, if you 9 will, is incorrect? 10 I'm sorry. I cannot answer you like that because we are 11 talking about what we call different generations of studies, 12 and it's just -- if I could explain, I would explain. 13 But, for example, Evelyn Hooker's study was correct. 14 So if you are asking do I feel that it was not correct, it was 15 correct, but I don't think that it addressed the question that 16 you are asking me about the prevalence of disorders. 17 Well, what I'm asking is: Do you believe that -- in your 18 own words you said: 19 2.0 "Homosexuals did not have abnormally elevated 21 psychiatric symptomatology compared with heterosexuals." 22 23 Do you believe that it is -- that it is correct that 24 homosexuals do not have abnormally elevated psychiatric

symptomatology compared with heterosexuals?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Yes.

I don't believe that, as I described the evidence today. So you believe that is incorrect? Q. Α. As of today, yes. Thank you. Q. Okay. And that view is inconsistent with your testimony in this case, correct? Not the view you just expressed, the view that is the quoted here? Right. My view is -- my research evidence that is recent has shown that, in fact, gay and lesbian population do have higher rates of some disorders. So that opinion is inconsistent with what you said was once the widely accepted and often restated view? Correct. Α. Q. Thank you. Look at the next paragraph. The very first line you say: "More recently, there has been a shift in the popular and scientific discourse on the mental health of lesbians and gay men. Gay affirmative advocates have begun to advance minority stress hypothesis claiming that discriminatory social conditions lead to poor health outcomes." Correct?

- Q. And that is your position, correct?
- 2 **A.** Yes.
- 3 \mathbf{Q} . Thank you.
- 4 And I notice you used the -- that one of the
- 5 | citations, in fact, after that sentence is to your own work,
- 6 | correct?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 | Q. It says "Meyer, 2001"?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$ So you consider yourself a, quote, gay affirmative
- 11 | advocate, correct?
- 12 A. I'm considering myself a gay affirmative scientist, and I
- 13 | certainly advocate for the improvement of the social
- 14 environment for gay men and lesbians, yes.
- 15 $\|Q$. And the exact words you used here were "gay affirmative"
- 16 advocates." And you used that in connection with the citation
- 17 | to yourself.
- 18 So do you believe yourself to be a gay affirmative
- 19 | advocate?
- 20 A. Among other things that I am, such as a social scientist.
- 21 Q. So, yes, correct?
- 22 **A.** Yes.
- 23 Q. All right. Thank you.
- 24 And, in fact, you contributed money to the No On 8
- 25 | campaign, correct?

A. Yes.

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

- 2 $||\mathbf{Q}|$ In fact, you did so on two occasions, correct?
- 3 A. I don't remember, but I did contribute to them because I thought that the cause was something that I agreed with.
 - **Q.** All right. Thank you.

And please look at tab number four.

(Witness complied.)

- Q. This is something that we got off the San Francisco Chronicle's data base. It tracked the Proposition 8 contributions.
- Does this reflect your recollection about your contributions to Proposition 8, to the No On 8 campaign?
- 13 A. I don't have independent recollection, but I don't have 14 any reason to doubt it either, so.
- 15 Q. All right. Okay. Thank you.
 - All right. In your testimony, writings and the expert report that I read, I notice that sometimes you refer to the minority stress model and sometimes you refer to the social stress model. For purposes of your opinions in this case, are those synonyms?
- 21 **A.** No.
- 22 Q. Are they essentially synonyms for purposes of your opinion
- 23 here?
- 24 A. Well, one is a case of the other, so they refer to similar
- 25 theories, but the minority stress, per se, is the theory that I

described earlier, as I described those stressors that are specific to gays and lesbians. 2 But it's -- the social stress is kind of like a 3 4 broader category that would fit in it. So I don't know if you 5 want to say that that's a synonym or not, but the minority 6 stress is one of the models that are used as a -- within the, I 7 would say, rubric of social stress. When we are talking about stress received by disadvantaged 8 groups, would the social stress theory or the social stress 9 model and minority stress model be synonyms? 10 I think, as I just explained, the minority stress is 11 usually used to the gay and lesbian population because, for 12 example, it as things like internalized homophobia or -- that 13 are specific. 14 But in the social stress, for example, with 15 African-Americans I would say the most prominent article 16 discussed racism and stress, which is --17 18 Q. Okay. But --19 -- is parallel I guess. So minority stress is a subset of social stress? 20 21 Right, right, but I --22 Okay. Thank you. Q. 23 And sometimes you use the word "minority stress

theory." Sometimes you say "minority stress model." Is that

24

25

essentially synonymous?

- A. Yes. The -- yes, I guess.
- 2 Q. Thank you.
- All right. I just wanted to clarify that, because
- 4 | you used these -- these were different words in some of our
- 5 | articles and I just want to make sure that we're on the same
- 6 page.

- 7 **A.** Sure.
- 8 Q. Now, the social stress model or, if you will, the minority
- 9 stress model predicts the individual's --
- 10 (Court reporter interruption.)
- 11 Q. The social stress model or the minority stress model, I
- 12 guess I should say the minority stress model, predicts that
- 13 | individuals who are members of disadvantaged groups receive
- 14 more stress than individuals who are not members of those
- 15 | groups, correct?
- 16 A. Yes, and that would be true of the social stress as well.
- 17 \mathbb{Q} . Okay. So in that case they are synonyms?
- 18 **A.** Yes.
- 19 **Q.** Okay. Thank you.
- 20 And the model predicts that as a result of social
- 21 stress or as a result of minority stress, individuals who are
- 22 members of disadvantaged groups will have worse mental health
- 23 | outcomes than individuals who are not members of those groups,
- 24 | correct?
- 25 **A.** Yes.

- 1 || Q. All right. And at least as a theoretical matter, those
- 2 two premises should apply to other disadvantaged groups,
- 3 || correct?
- $4 \parallel A$. That I would say is a question that is of great interest,
- 5 | but I cannot say correct or incorrect on the way that you
- 6 described it.
- 7 | Q. Okay. Even as a theoretical matter, you can't say that
- 8 | that's correct?
- 9 A. As a theoretical matter, we look at commonalities and
- 10 divergences across populations in order to probe our theories
- 11 and to understand how things work. So there are commonalities
- 12 as the way that you described them, yes.
- 13 **Q.** And --
- 14 **A.** There are also dissimilarities, of course. So we -- we
- 15 try to analyze the balance of those in learning about
- 16 theoretical issues.
- 17 $\|Q$. Okay. I would like you to turn to tab number eight in the
- 18 | witness binder.
- 19 (Witness complied.)
- 20 **A.** Yes.
- 21 Q. And you'll find a document pre-marked DIX-2519.
- 22 **A.** Yes.
- 23 **Q.** Can you identify that document?
- 24 | A. Yes. That's an interview that I -- I was interviewed by
- 25 this person, David Van Nuys, and I believe it's a transcription

```
of that interview. It was an oral, you know, internet radio
   interview.
 2
 3
        Yes, thank you.
              And in that interview you discussed some of the
 4
 5
   studies and work that you have conducted, correct?
 6
   A.
        Yes.
 7
   Q. All right. Thank you.
              MR. NIELSON: Your Honor, I would like to move
 8
 9
   DIX-2519 into evidence.
              MR. DUSSEAULT: No objection.
10
              THE COURT: Very well.
11
              (Defendants' Exhibit 2519 received in evidence.)
12
              MR. NIELSON: Okay. Thank you.
13
   BY MR. NIELSON:
14
        And I would like to look at the third page of the exhibit.
15
16
        Yes.
17
        Sorry. I want to look at the second to the bottom
   Q.
18
   paragraph on that page, and it says:
              "So some of the findings that we had, for
19
2.0
              example, is when we look at stress exposure.
21
              So we wanted to study each aspect of this
22
              theory because a lot of the elements of the
23
              stress theory, especially when it comes to
24
              social stress, are often assumed but not
25
              tested. And we wanted to test carefully the
```

2.0

2.1

entire process. So the first hypothesis -you know, it's a pretty big hypothesis, there
are a lot of different studies about that -is do disadvantaged groups, in fact, have
more stress."

Correct? So that -- that doesn't distinguish gays and lesbians from other disadvantaged groups, correct?

- A. Right. That will be a general test of the social stress model. As you said, the first assumption is the disadvantaged is associated with added stress.
- Q. Right, right. And I would like to go up earlier on that page, your second full response. You say:

"So around this, I designed the study and the study included 524 men and women who were New York City residents. And there were people who were in those different groups that we can identify based on this so that we can test this theory. So they were gay and lesbian bisexual versus heterosexual; they were women versus men; and they were black and Latino versus white. And we looked at those three disadvantaged statuses and to what extent those disadvantaged statuses are related to an increase in stressors as the theory would say, and to what extent, if they

do have those increases in stressors, do 1 they, in fact, lead to certain mental 2 disorder." 3 4 Α. Yes. 5 So at least as a theoretical matter, the social stress 6 theory would predict that for each of those three groups, the 7 disadvantaged group would experience more stress and have worse mental health outcomes, correct? 8 Correct. 9 Α. All right. Thank you. 10 Turning back to LGB, the LGB individuals in 11 particular. You believe that as a result of -- you believe 12 that due, in part, to minority status, the LGB population has 13 about twice as many mental health disorders as heterosexuals, 14 including mood, anxiety and substance use disorders, correct? 15 16 Yes. And you also believe that the LGB population suffers from 17 a higher prevalence of mood anxiety or substance use problems 18 that do not meet criteria for a formal psychiatric order, but 19 2.0 are nevertheless indicative of stress, correct? 21 Yes. Α. 22 Okay. Thank you. And you also believe that LGB individuals have lower 23

levels of well-being than heterosexuals, correct?

24

25

Α.

Yes.

- 1 Q. And you believe there is a higher incidence of suicide
- 2 | attempts among the LGB individuals compared to heterosexual
- 3 | individuals, correct?
- 4 **A.** Repeat, please?
- 5 Q. You believe that there's a higher incidence of suicide
- 6 | attempts among LGB individuals than among heterosexual
- 7 | individuals?
- 8 | A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. And where one LGB individual suffers from minority
- 10 stress, it would tend to affect the other partner as well,
- 11 | correct?
- 12 (Brief pause.)
- 13 Q. Let me rephrase that.
- 14 When an LGB individual is in a relationship, intimate
- 15 | relationship with another individual, where one LGB individual
- 16 suffers from minority stress, it would tend to affect the other
- 17 | partner as well, correct?
- 18 A. I think that's true of all partners. When something bad
- 19 | happens to one of them, surely it will affect the other.
- 20 Q. So it's a yes, correct?
- 21 **A.** Yes.
- 22 Q. Okay. Thank you.
- 23 $\|\mathbf{A}$. I just would say it's not unique to LGB in this case.
- 24 $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\cdot}\|$ Okay. It's not unique, but it would be true?
- 25 **A.** Yes.

Q. Okay. Thank you.

1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

- 2 A. I assume -- you know, it's kind of theoretical. I would
 3 assume that it would affect the other person, too, who is -- if
 4 his loved one experienced something.
- Q. And specifically if one of the members of the partnership or the marriage, whatever it might be, if they suffered -- one member suffered from minority stress, it would increase general stress on the relationship and would have a negative impact on their satisfaction, correct?
- 10 A. Yes. Some of the stressors -- you know, this is in 11 general, kind of an average.

So some of those stressors would definitely have this effect. And I particularly studied internalized homophobia as an example of that type of effect, but there might be more minor things that may not have this effect.

Q. Okay. Thank you.

Now, you believe that the adverse mental health outcomes among the LGB population that you believe you have identified are due, in part, to minority stress, correct?

- A. Yes.
- 21 **Q.** Emphasis on "due in part."
- 22 A. It's not that I identified all those differences. There
 23 are many studies and even in the article that we just
- 24 discussed, I rely on other studies by summarizing them, but --
- 25 Q. My question is really getting --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α.

Yes.

MR. DUSSEAULT: Could I object to the extent counsel is interrupting the answers? He is asking the question and the witness is answering and he needs to be permitted to answer. MR. NIELSON: I'll try and be careful. I'm trying to move things along, but... THE COURT: All right. Well, maybe you can point your questions and the witness can point his answers and, hopefully, you will meet in the middle. (Laughter.) I was just making the point that you said that I found those -- the evidence about a higher prevalence, and I just made the point that it is not all my studies. BY MR. NIELSON: Correct. Thank you. And I appreciate your making that clear. My question, though, what I'm really getting at is: These mental health outcomes can also result from other causes, correct? Yes. And some of those causes would be unrelated to stress, correct? Yes. A. And some -- even for stress-related causes, some of those stressors would be not related to minority stress, correct?

- Q. General stressors, I think you -- is the term you used -A. Yes.
 - Okay. Thank you.

-- correct?

And those sorts of general stressors are not dependent on membership in a disadvantaged group, correct?

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

14

15

16

Q.

- 8 Q. All right. At least as a theoretical matter, the social stress model would predict that women experience more stress than men, correct?
- 11 A. It's correct with some -- it's correct that we would look
 12 for that prediction, yes.
- 13 Q. Okay. Thank you.

And in this interview, as you describe your work, you actually found that men and women did not have different levels of overall stress, correct?

- 17 **A.** Yes.
- 18 Q. And this is something that's also found in the general 19 literature, correct?
- 20 **A.** Yes.
- 21 **Q.** So regarding gender, the expectations of social stress 22 theory, the disadvantaged group, in this case women, would have 23 more exposure to stress is not verified by your studies,
- 24 || correct?
- 25 **A.** Yes.

- 1 \mathbb{Q} . And this expectation, the social stress theory regarding
- 2 women, is not verified by many other studies either, correct?
- 3 **A.** Yes.
- 4 \mathbf{Q} . Thank you.
- 5 And the social stress model would predict that
- 6 African-Americans and Latinos suffer from a higher prevalence
- 7 of mental disorders than non-Hispanic whites, correct?
- 8 A. As a group, yes.
- 9 Q. Thank you.
- 10 Now, in the study that you describe in this
- 11 | interview, you, in fact, found that African-Americans and
- 12 Latinos do not have more stress -- or, excuse me, they do have
- 13 more stress than non-Hispanic whites, correct?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\cdot}\|$ But you found that African-Americans and Latinos do not
- 16 have more mental disorders than whites, correct?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 \mathbb{Q} . And this is a finding that's not unique to this study,
- 19 | correct?
- 20 **A.** Yes.
- 21 Q. This finding seems to be valid because it's been shown
- 22 | with other populations in general studies, correct?
- 23 | A. I think -- other populations, you mean that studied the
- 24 | same thing? Other studies, yeah.
- 25 | Q. Yes, okay. I was actually just quoting directly from your

```
words --
         Yeah. Other studies that use other samples and so forth,
 2
 3
   yes.
         Please look at the third paragraph of your first full
 4
 5
   answer on page four. And, again, we're still in this interview
 6
   you gave.
 7
              And it starts with "However." Can you see that,
   Professor Meyer?
 8
 9
         Page four --
        Your first full answer. It's about the middle of the
10
   page. And I'm going to read that to you. You say:
11
              "However, regarding the blacks and Latinos,
12
              we found an interesting finding.
13
              And, in fact, that just repeats what I said, so I'm
14
   going to skip to the middle --
15
16
         Okay.
17
         -- where it says:
              "So blacks and Latinos have more stress, but
18
19
              they don't have more mental disorders. So
2.0
              that's very bewildering, again, from the
21
              social stress perspective because you
22
              question whether your theory is correct. If
23
              they have more stress and the stress is a
24
              cause of disorders, which is what this whole
25
              study is about, then how come they don't show
```

more disorders?" 1 Okay. Now, you wrote that, correct? 2 3 Α. Yes. 4 Or, rather, you said it probably, because it was an 5 interview. 6 Right, but probably have written something like that as 7 well. Okay. And the social stress model would also predict that 8 within the LGB community, African-Americans and Latino LGB 9 individuals, would suffer from a higher prevalence of mental 10 disorders than white non-Hispanic individuals, correct? 11 I'm sorry. The study that you quoted before was about 12 African-American and Latino gay and lesbian people. 13 Yes. I --14 Q. Are you asking now a different --15 Well, in the study we just talked about, you said this was 16 true in the general population as well. 17 Right. So it's true -- but the study that I conducted was 18 about black and Latino gay men and lesbians as compared to 19 20 white gay men and lesbians. 21 All right. And I want you to look at another study you 22 did that's -- that's clearly -- more clearly pointed just at 23 that within the LGB group. But I take your point, so thank you 24 for clarifying that.

25 **A.** Okay.

Q. But let me ask one clarifying question.

The general pattern, you said in this article, is true for non-LGB as well, correct, for both men versus women and for the ethnicity and race groups?

- A. I would limit it to African-Americans versus white, because it's a little complicated with Latinos; but, yes, African-Americans versus white.
- Q. Okay. But -- but the social stress model would predict that within the LGB community, African-American and Latino LGB individuals would suffer from a higher prevalence of mental disorders than white non-Hispanic LGB individuals, correct?
- **A.** That was a hypothesis that we tested, yes.
- $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$ Thank you.

2.0

And you tested that because that's what the social stress theory or the minority stress theory would predict, correct?

A. We tested because we wanted to see whether -- there's actually an alternative prediction, too. So it's a little bit more complex than the way you are describing it. But we -- we test the hypothesis because we always pose one side of the hypothesis.

In fact, in this matter of gay and lesbian, which we call kind of having dual minority identities, the one theory or one hypothesis that they would have more -- because they now have two kind of minority identities or disadvantaged, but the

other theory was that they actually would do better because
somehow their experience as black and exposed to racism would
somehow give them special coping ability so that when they deal
with the gay homophobia, that they can somehow do better.

So those are the two sides, and we certainly posed the hypothesis as one side when we tested it.

Q. Well, two questions. First of all, do you consider that a very parsimonious explanation?

And I don't mean your words. I mean as a theoretical matter. Is that a parsimonious theory?

- 11 **A.** Parsimonious in what way?
- 12 **Q.** In the way you use it in the social sciences. And you 13 have used that word.
- 14 A. Exactly, but I have used it in different contexts, so --
- 15 Q. My understanding is that parsimonious means simple, and
- 16 that in the social sciences -- in science in general a simpler
- 17 answer is preferred to a more complex one, as long as they both
- 18 | fit the data, is that correct?
- 19 **A.** You want me to say if that is preferable in social 20 sciences?
- 21 **Q.** Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

- 22 **A.** There is disagreements about that. So a more parsimonious
- 23 explanation is preferable if you look to kind of -- in some
- 24 | ways, you know, you are looking for the pithiest and
- 25 most simple, as you said, explanation that can explain the

widest phenomenon. But on the other side of parsimony, there are people 2 and, you know, a study that -- a philosophy of sciences that 3 4 say that parsimony is not good because it doesn't allow you to understand the details and the workings; that it could 5 6 oversimplify, in other words. 7 So that is a debatable thing. But, certainly, we are interested in those questions of parsimony in the way that may 8 be referred to. 9 10 Q. Okay. So we are interested in those questions. We want to see, 11 is it parsimonious? Is it explaining a cross situation and a 12 cross populations and so forth. It's certainly what makes my 13 work interesting. 14 Okay. Thank you. 15 Now, please, look at tab nine in the witness binder. 16 (Witness complied.) 17 And you will find a document that's pre-marked DIX-1253? 18 Q. 19 Α. Yes. 20 Can you identify this document? That's an article I published in the American 21 Α. Journal of Public Health in 2008. 22 23 Q. Thank you. 24 MR. NIELSON: And, your Honor, I would like to

25

introduce DIX-1253 into evidence.

```
MR. DUSSEAULT: No objection.
 1
              THE COURT: 1253 is admitted.
 2
              (Defendants' Exhibit 1253 received in evidence.)
 3
 4
              MR. NIELSON:
                            Thank you.
 5
   BY MR. NIELSON:
 6
         And this document describes a study that you conducted,
 7
   correct?
        Yes.
 8
   Α.
 9
   Q.
         Thank you.
              And, please, look at the top -- there's three columns
10
   actually, but look in the first page, the top of the first
11
   column -- or the second column, the middle column?
12
        Uh-huh.
13
   Α.
        And now you stated a minute ago that you were -- you were
14
   not inclined to agree with my statement that the social stress
15
   theory would predict that black and Latino lesbians -- well,
16
   LGB individuals would have more mental disorders than white
17
   non-Hispanic LGB individuals.
18
              But I would like to read that to you. It says,"
19
   Social stress theories" --
2.0
         I don't think I said that.
21
22
         Well, do you agree with that?
23
         Can you repeat it?
24
         Okay. The social stress model would also predict that
25
   within the LGB community African-American and Latino LGB
```

```
individuals would suffer from a higher prevalence of mental
    disorders than white non-Hispanic individuals, correct?
 2
 3
               I said that was the hypothesis we tested.
 4
    Q.
         Okay.
 5
         So I didn't disagree with that, but I also said that there
 6
    is -- there is a debate, you know, that we tried to address in
 7
    studying this topic. So there is one side and the other side
    in terms of the dual identity. That's what I was saying
 8
    earlier.
              So that was the hypothesis we tested --
10
         Now, the --
11
    Q.
              (Court reporter interruption.)
12
         Have you completed your answer?
13
    Q.
         Yes.
14
   Α.
         I apologize.
15
              Now, the first sentence here says:
16
17
              "Social stress theories lead us to expect
              that compared with socially advantaged
18
19
              groups, disadvantaged groups are at a higher
              risk for mental disorders."
20
21
         Yes.
   Α.
22
         You agree with that statement, correct?
    Q.
23
   A.
         Yes.
24
         So we, thus, hypothesized, one, that black and Latino
    lesbians, gay men and bisexual individuals have more mental
25
```

- 1 disorders than do white lesbian gay men and bisexual
- 2 | individuals because they are more -- exposed to more stress
- 3 | related to prejudice, discrimination -- excuse me, prejudice
- 4 and discrimination associated with their race, ethnicity?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. All right. And you believe that hypothesis followed from
- 7 | the social stress theory, correct?
- 8 **A.** Yes.
- 9 Q. Thank you.
- 10 All right. And then in this study you found that
- 11 African-Americans and Latino lesbians, gay men and --
- 12 (Court reporter interruption.)
- 13 | Q. And in this study you found that African-American and
- 14 | Latino lesbians, gay men and bisexual individuals did not have
- 15 | a higher disorder prevalence than did white participants,
- 16 | correct?
- 17 | A. Than the white lesbian, gay men and bisexuals.
- 18 Q. Correct.
- 19 **A.** Yes.
- 20 Q. And I guess the white non-Hispanic lesbian, gay men and
- 21 | bisexuals.
- 22 **A.** Right.
- 23 | Q. And this finding was contrary to your hypothesis, correct?
- 24 | A. Right.
- 25 Q. All right. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

```
And you found that African-American lesbians, gay men
and bisexuals have significantly fewer disorders than did white
participants, correct?
     I think in some of the findings that was significantly
fewer, yes.
Q.
     Okay. And let's look at -- let's look at page -- this
first page in the third column, and I will read starting with
the second paragraph -- the second sentence, it says:
          "Contrary to our hypothesis, black and Latino
          lesbians, gay men and bisexual individuals
          did not have a higher disorder prevalence
          than did white participants. Indeed, black
          lesbians, gay men and bisexual individuals
          had significantly fewer disorders than did
          white participants."
     Right. The black --
     Okay. So that is correct?
Q.
     Yes. But the -- yes.
     Okay.
            Thank you.
          And you found that the prevalence of disorders among
Latino lesbians, gay men and bisexual individuals was similar
to that --
          (Court reporter interruption.)
    Okay, sorry.
          And you found that the prevalence of disorders among
```

- 1 Latino lesbians, gay men and bisexual individuals was similar
- 2 to that of white lesbians, gay men and bisexual individuals,
- 3 || correct?
- $4 \parallel A$. With the exception of serious suicide attempts, that is
- 5 correct. But we found them to have a higher prevalence of
- 6 serious suicide attempts in history.
- 7 **Q.** But not of disorders generally, correct?
- 8 A. Of those three disorders, right.
- 9 Q. Okay. Thank you.
- And men and women did not differ substantially in
- 11 | disorder prevalence, correct?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 || Q. In terms of implications to social stress theory, this
- 14 study reported inconsistent findings, correct?
- 15 ||**A.** Within the context of this particular questions that were
- 16 | raised in this study, but it is not inconsistent with the
- 17 | general -- what I testified to, which was about the difference
- 18 | between gay, lesbian and heterosexual.
- 19 So within that gay and lesbian group, there was not
- 20 | the finding that supported the idea that if you had an added --
- 21 | sorry, an added minority identity, that that will add more
- 22 disorders to you.
- But as a group, they had more disorders than
- 24 | heterosexuals --
- 25 Q. Correct. But the --

- 1 A. -- which is not reported here because this is just looking 2 at one particular aspect of it.
- Q. But the results regarding race, ethnicity were inconsistent with your predictions made on the basis of social stress theory, correct?
- 6 A. Again, within the context of that, yes.
 - Q. Thank you. And these results regarding race and ethnicity were inconsistent with other's predictions made on the basis of social stress theory, correct?
- **A.** What is it? With other peoples, yes.
- 11 Q. Yes, thank you.

2.0

- And you found it notable that the race ethnicity patterns reported here among lesbians, gay men and bisexual individuals were similar to race differences found among heterosexual individuals in general population studies, correct?
- A. Yes. But, again, as a group, they were all elevated; but the differences within the group of gay men, lesbians were consistent in that sense of that hypothesis that I tested, although there were some differences. But I don't think it's relevant to what you are asking right now.
- Q. No, I understand that.

And you stated that you believed that further research needs to explain the seeming contradiction of social stress predictions, correct?

1 Absolutely. We always think that further research is necessary. 2 3 Q. Yes. 4 That's what we do. 5 Q. That's how you stay in business. 6 (Laughter.) 7 Q. And some lawyers predict that litigation is always necessary, too. But, thank you. 8 9 The social stress model would also predict that within the LGB community, racial and ethnic minorities would 10 suffer from lower levels of well-being than whites, correct? 11 The same rationale. 12 Yes. And the social stress model would predict that within the 13 LGB community, racial and ethnic minorities would suffer from a 14 higher prevalence of depression than whites, correct? 15 I think -- is it repeating the same thing we discussed, 16 because --17 I just asked you about mental disorders, which I 18 understood it to be the subject of the study we just read. 19 20 Now I'm asking about well-being first, and then 21 suicide attempts second. 22 A. Oh, okay. I'm sorry. 23 So regarding well-being. Again, it will be the same 24 basic pattern. You would -- on one hand, the social stress

part of it would say they have another minority identity,

therefore, they should have more disorder. The coping, I guess, hypothesis you can say would say 2 3 the opposite. 4 And with regard to suicide, yes, you would expect 5 them to have more. 6 Okay. So the answer is that the social model -- the 7 stress model would predict that within the LGB community, racial and ethnic minorities would suffer from a higher 8 prevalence of depression than whites? 9 Α. Yes. 10 Is that correct? 11 And I apologize, I misspoke. The study I'm going to 12 look at next is about depression and well-being. 13 14 Α. Okay. Okay. Thank you. 15 Now, please turn to tab 10 in the witness binder. 16 (Witness complied.) 17 You will find a document that's pre-marked DIX-1252. 18 Q. And can you identify this document? 19 That's another study from the same -- sorry. 2.0 21 Another paper that was published from the same study, looking at the different outcomes that you mentioned actually, and it 22 23 was published in the American Journal of Orthopsychiatry in 24 2009.

MR. NIELSON: Your Honor, this is also an exhibit

```
that was designated by both parties. I believe the plaintiffs
   designated it as Exhibit No. 999. And it may have been among
 2
 3
    that list that Mr. Dusseault submitted, though I can't recall.
 4
              THE COURT: It is.
 5
             MR. NIELSON: Okay. Thank you.
 6
              THE COURT: So that's in.
 7
             MR. NIELSON: It's in? All right. Thank you.
   BY MR. NIELSON:
 8
 9
        Now, this document describes another study you have
   conducted, correct?
10
        It's the same study. It's a different analysis on the
11
   same -- the same sample that was in the other paper we just
12
   discussed. So it's the same people, but a different outcome,
13
   as you mentioned.
14
        All right. So it's the same study, but a different aspect
15
   of that study?
16
        Exactly.
17
   Α.
18
   Q. All right, thank you.
             And in this study you did not find decreased
19
20
   well-being or increased depression in racial ethnic minority
21
   respondents as a whole, correct?
22
        In the -- again, those are the gay and lesbian black
23
   and -- yes. Consistent with what we were just saying with the
24
   other study, yes.
```

Q. Right. And this finding was contrary to your hypotheses

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

stemming from minority stress theory about the added stress that racial, ethnic, minority status would place on --(Court reporter interruption.) Q. Sorry. And this finding was contrary to your hypotheses stemming from minority stress theory about the added stress that racial, ethnic, minority status would place on LGB individuals, correct? Yes. Α. And your finding regarding mental health and well-being of African-American LGB persons is consistent with results of studies of the general population that found that despite greater exposure to discrimination and prejudice, African-Americans do not have a higher prevalence of most common mental disorders than whites, correct? Yes. And studies have found this is true with respect to both the general population and LGB populations, correct? Again, it's correct in the sense of black versus white LGB, but the LGB versus heterosexuals, which is what I was testifying to, that was higher. But in the general population, meaning non- -- well, not necessarily gay samples, the finding is that as you described it.

Okay. And we will turn to the studies of heterosexuals

versus LGB individuals immediately after this exhibit, but I'm testing the minority stress theory generally, which is why I'm 2 3 exploring some of the work you've done relating to gender and 4 race. 5 Α. Okay. Now, other studies have shown that African-Americans, in 6 Q. 7 fact, have higher self-esteem and well-being than whites, correct? 8 That's in the general population. 9 10 Q. Yes. Yes. 11 Α. Look at page eight of this exhibit. And, again, we are at 12 13 tab 10. Starting about halfway down in the middle of the 14 paragraph at the bottom of the second column, I'm going to read 15 that to you. It says: 16 17 "That our results show inconsistent support for minority stress hypotheses should lead to 18 a reexamination and, if necessary, 19 elaboration of the minority stress model. We 20 are particularly struck by the finding that 21 black LGB respondents, clearly a 22 23 disadvantaged social group in American 24 society, do not show higher levels of depressive symptoms and lower levels of 25

well-being than their white counterparts. 1 This finding clearly challenges minority 2 3 stress theory. That this finding is 4 consistent with findings about black/white 5 differences and well-being in the general 6 population, as well as findings regarding 7 differences and prevalence of mental disorders between black and white LGB, 8 9 strengthens our confidence that these findings are not a result of some bias in 10 our study." 11 Those are your words, correct? 12 13 Yes. Α. And does that fairly summarize --14 That's one of the conclusions that we came to, yes. 15 Okay. And turn over the page to the next paragraph, the 16 top of the page nine in the first column. It says: 17 "The lack of parsimony in our results 18 represents a challenge in social stress 19 2.0 theory. It suggests that the theory cannot 2.1 be applied uniformly and that greater 22 definitions and distinctions are necessary in future research." 23 24 Correct? 25 Correct.

- 1 Q. And we discussed parsimony a minute ago, correct?
- 2 **A.** It is saying exactly what I said, that -- I guess, the word "challenge" needs to be explained.
- 4 | What I'm saying here is that we need to examine,
- 5 | because of those differences, the commonalities and
- 6 divergences, we need to try to better -- we would call it
- 7 | specify the model; that it will be a better model predicting
- 8 those types of outcomes so that they -- so we can explain them
- 9 better.
- 10 Q. But you said that it means that the theory cannot be
- 11 applied uniformly and that greater definition and distinctions
- 12 | are necessary, correct?
- 13 **A.** Exactly.
- 14 Q. All right. Thank you.
- 15 Please turn to tab 11 in the witness binder, and
- 16 you'll find a document pre-marked DIX-1246.
- 17 | (Witness complied.)
- 18 Q. Can you identify this document?
- 19 | **A.** 1246?
- 20 **Q.** Yes. It's tab 11.
- 21 $\|$ A. Yes. That's an article that I wrote that was published in
- 22 the Journal of Health and Social Behavior in 1995.
- 23 Q. Thank you.
- 24 MR. NIELSON: And, again, this is one that was
- 25 designated by the plaintiffs as 1002, your Honor, and I believe

```
that it is in evidence.
              THE COURT: Very well.
 2
 3
              MR. DUSSEAULT: No objection.
 4
              MR. NIELSON: Correct?
 5
             MR. DUSSEAULT: I'm sorry?
 6
             MR. NIELSON: 1002, PX 1002. Could I have opposing
    counsel confirm that that was admitted?
 7
              THE COURT: Yes. 1002?
 8
 9
             MR. NIELSON: Yes.
              THE COURT: Is in.
10
             MR. NIELSON: Okay. Thank you.
11
   BY MR. NIELSON:
12
13
        Okay. Now, this document discusses a study you conducted,
   correct?
14
              This was my dissertation study.
15
        Yes.
        This was your doctoral dissertation, you said?
16
        This was based on the dissertation. This is a publication
17
18
    that came out of it, yes.
19
        Okay. Thank you.
              All right. Now, please look at page 39 in the middle
2.0
21
   of the -- well, towards the top of the second column, about
    three sentences into the first full paragraph, you write:
22
              "It has been predicted that, if minority
23
24
              position is stressful, and if the stress is
              related to psychological distress, the
25
```

minority groups must have higher rates of distress than non-minority groups. But studies that compared rates of distress and disorder between blacks and whites, women and men, and homosexuals and heterosexuals did not confirm such predictions, leading some researchers to refute minority stress conceptualizations."

And the study goes on to list a number of citations, a number of studies, including -- I believe I count nine on, quote, gay/straight differences, correct?

A. Right.

2.0

2.1

- Q. So those studies, at least, do not support the social stress model as it applies to LGB individuals, correct?
- A. Those are the studies that I was referring to before when you asked me the questions about Evelyn Hooker and so forth that in the past demonstrated that.

And as I also said in many of the publications, that the studies in the 90's are the ones that began to use more advanced accepted methods that begin to show this difference.

And, in fact, the point of this article is to show the support for minority stress. And this is the article that, actually, I first introduced the concept and demonstrated how it does work. In other words, it is supported. So this was just the introduction to this.

All right. Thank you. 1 But these studies that you cite here you characterize 2 as studies that compared rates of distress and disorder between 3 homosexuals and heterosexuals and did not confirm such 4 5 predictions. And the predictions to which you are referring 6 7 earlier in that sentence already: "It has been predicted that, if minority 8 9 position is stressful, and if this stress is related to psychological distress, then 10 minority groups must have higher rates of 11 distress than non-minority groups." 12 Correct? 13 So those older studies did not show that, as we 14 showed 15 16 Sorry --Q. -- yesterday. 17 All right. So those studies, at least, were inconsistent 18 with your model, correct? 19 2.0 Yes. Α. 21 Q. Okay. Thank you. And your 1995 study did not look at inter-group 22 comparisons, correct? By "intergroup comparisons" I mean 23 comparisons between heterosexuals and LGB individuals. 24 25 No. I did this most fully in the 2003 article that we

discussed earlier. But in 1995 you did not, correct? 2 Q. 3 This was looking at a group of gay men. 4 And, in fact, in that article you stated that -- just 5 lower down to the page, you say: 6 "I suggest that we must reexamine our 7 reliance on evidence from intergroup comparisons of rates of distress. Despite 8 the intuitive appeal of this approach, 9 numerous methodological problems lead to 10 bias, making it difficult to interpret the 11 evidence from studies using this approach." 12 Correct? 13 This refers to -- you know, we refer to different 14 generations of studies in psychiatric epidemiology. There was 15 a huge shift in understanding how to do studies like that. 16 17 So I'm saying here, what I said in that -- what you are quoting, that those older articles are not a good 18 19 indication for the assessment of those differences because they 2.0 didn't use sampling methodologies that would be correct, that would allow us to make -- to draw those conclusions. 2.1 22 didn't at the time have diagnostic criteria that were that 23 clear, and they certainly did not have any measures to assess

So there were a lot of methodological problems in

24

25

those.

those earlier studies, including the studies that we were discussing earlier when you quoted some of the, again, early studies that do not talk to the effect off prevalence. So they would have been two groups of gay versus straight, but they were not studies of prevalence in the population. So, therefore, they are not reliable as an estimate of the difference in the prevalence. Okay. But you said -- you suggest -- quote: "I suggest that we must reexamine reliance on evidence from intergroup comparisons of rates of disorder (sic)." Correct?

- Yes. Because of that problem, and other issues that I think I list here.
- Okay. And thank you. 15

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

And that's why you did not conduct an intergroup study in 1995, correct?

I wouldn't say that is why I didn't conduct it, but I was using this study as another anchor on this problem, on this question.

As I said, we used -- we tried to use different approaches to study the same problem from different sides so that we can see convergences and inconsistencies so that we can, by looking at those, improve our way that we understand the problem and the theories. That is not unique, you know, to these studies.

2.0

For example, there was a time that people thought that all cancers are caused by some kind of a genetic mutation. And then they find studies that don't confirm that and, therefore, they go on and investigate further and they say, Oh, some studies, some -- sorry -- cancers are caused by an infectious agent. So that's what I mean by improving the model. So now we understand something a little better about how cancer is caused.

So in the same way we always try to challenge our results and our studies using different methodologies, different ways of assessing the basic theory that, you know, we discussed here as social stress and use it -- so when I say the word "challenge," we use it to further study things that are discovered in, let's say, inconsistencies. So some of the inconsistencies that you described are now the subject of further investigation.

Q. Okay. Thank you.

But you found -- your findings in this study contrasted with the previous evidence compiled on minority stress, correct?

A. Well, this study was looking within a group of gay men.

It contrasts with those older studies that, as I said, did not show the differences.

But as I also said, there were studies that were not

```
up to par in terms of how we assess those issues now in terms
   of their ability to represent the population prevalence or the
 2
   proportion of people in the population that have the disorder.
 3
 4
        All right. I'm not asking about the methodology of the
 5
   previous studies. I'm just asking whether your findings in
 6
   this study were inconsistent with those studies?
 7
         I mean, I guess you could -- I think I would say that the
   older studies were inconsistent with this new finding.
8
        Okay. And please turn to page 51, if you would, please,
9
   sir?
10
        Yeah.
11
   Α.
              (Witness complied.)
12
        Okay, Professor Meyer, let's -- right in the middle of the
13
   Q.
   second column on page 51, you write:
14
              "These findings contrast with previous
15
              evidence compiled on minority stress. When
16
              studies compared rates of disorder or
17
              distress between minority and non-minority
18
              groups, we found little evidence that
19
2.0
              minority stress is related to adverse mental
              health."
21
22
              Correct?
              Those are those old studies that I mentioned.
23
24
   Q.
        Thank you.
              And in the last -- in the last paragraph of that
25
```

page, a little farther down, you say: "Certainly the issue of rates of disorder and 2 3 distress cannot be sidestepped and will have 4 to be addressed, too. But if the present 5 findings are convincing, we must address the question of rates of difference with this 6 7 evidence in mind. The issue, thus, becomes one of explaining why there are no 8 9 differences in rates of disorder between minority and non-minority populations and how 10 such findings could be consistent with the 11 evidence that not just social conditions do, 12 13 in fact, have adverse mental health effects." And you wrote that, correct? 14 Yes. 15 Α. 16 Okay. Thank you. It's kind of what I was just trying to explain as well, 17 that --18 19 Q. Thank you. Let's turn back to tab three. And we discussed this 2.0 21 document a moment ago and it's in evidence, so we can go straight to it. 22 23 **THE COURT:** Tab? 24 MR. NIELSON: Three, your Honor. 25

```
BY MR. NIELSON:
        And this is your 2003 article where you did look at
 2
 3
   intergroup comparisons, correct?
 4
        Correct.
 5
   Q. Yes, thank you.
             And in the middle --
 6
 7
              THE COURT: Page? What page?
             MR. NIELSON: That was just a general question, your
 8
 9
   Honor.
              THE COURT: I thought you were about ready to read
10
   something.
11
12
             MR. NIELSON: I am.
   BY MR. NIELSON:
13
   Q. Now I will direct -- ask you, Professor Meyer, to turn to
14
   page 684.
15
              (Witness complied.)
16
        Okay. Please look at the second sentence of the first
17
   Q.
   full paragraph. It starts, "In drawing."
18
        Uh-huh.
19
   Α.
        (As read)
20
   Q.
              "In drawing a conclusion about whether LGB
21
              groups have higher prevalences of mental
22
              disorders, one should proceed with caution.
23
              The studies are few, methodologies and
24
              measurements are inconsistent and trends in
25
```

the findings are not always easy to 1 interpret. Although several studies show 2 3 significant elevation in prevalence of 4 disorders in LGB people, some do not." 5 So at the time you wrote this, you believed that, at 6 least, some of the previous studies were inconsistent with the 7 minority stress model, correct? We are talking still about the same studies that were the 8 older studies. And the reason that I did this paper is to use 9 only the better studies, the ones that can actually answer the 10 question, and that's what the findings in this paper 11 demonstrate. 12 13 Okay. Thank you. Now, please look at page 685. Look at page 685 and 14 look at the second full paragraph on the page. You describe --15 16 well, I will just read it: "Two studies assess the risk for completed 17 18 suicides among gay men. These studies assess 19 the prevalences of homosexuality among 2.0 completed suicides and found no 2.1 overrepresentation of gay and bisexual men, 22 concluding that LGB populations are not at increased risk for suicide. Thus, findings 23 24 from studies of completed suicides are 25 inconsistent with studies finding the LGB

groups are at higher risk of suicide ideation 1 and attempts than heterosexuals." 2 3 And then in the last sentence of that paragraph you 4 say: 5 "Considering the scarcity of studies, the 6 methodological challenges and the greater 7 potential for bias in studies of completed suicide, it is difficult to draw firm 8 9 conclusions from their apparent refutation of minority stress theory." 10 Correct? 11 This concerns a particular type of study that looks at 12 completed suicide -- as those people who are dead -- and, 13 therefore, it is -- there are only two of those and it is very 14 hard to assess the proportion of people there who were gay. 15 So that's why I said that it is hard to draw 16 conclusions for those two studies. 17 But at least on their face they -- you describe them as 18 presenting an apparent refutation of minority stress theory, 19 correct? 2.0 Apparent, yes. But I also say in the same paragraph that 21 22 the methodological problems would preclude you from drawing those conclusions. 23 24 All right. And you said it was --"Considering the scarcity of studies, the 25

methodological challenge and greater 1 potential for bias, it's difficult to draw 2 firm conclusions." 3 That is correct. 4 5 About this particular issue of completed suicides. 6 Q. Yes. Thank you. 7 Now, your 2003 study did conclude that LGB individuals have a higher prevalence of mental disorders than 8 heterosexuals, correct? 9 Yes. 10 Α. 11 Q. Okay. As I said before, this was not my study. This was what we 12 call a meta-analysis, which is a method of gathering data and 13 information from other studies. So I -- I looked at the other 14 studies and came up with the statistics that describe the 15 16 aggregate of those studies. 17 So the purpose of that is to get a better handle on those estimates because you are using not just one study, but 18 several studies that are available to you. 19 2.0 Correct. And you -- you relied on two types of studies, 21 correct; studies that targeted LGB groups using non-probability samples, and studies that used probability samples of the 22 general populations that allowed identification of LGB versus 23 24 heterosexual groups, correct, in your meta-analysis? I looked at all of those studies, but in conclusions I 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

relied only on the studies that used probability samples. The studies that don't use probability samples are exactly the ones we were discussing earlier and which is why I said that you cannot really draw good conclusions from them in terms of estimating prevalence. So I looked at, I think, all of the studies that were available going back, I think, to the 70's. And so when I -when you say "rely," I certainly looked at all of those, but in the meta-analysis I -- as most people do, you create a selection criteria for which studies you want to include and. In this case there were -- I looked specifically at the ones that were community studies that are very large and that involve probability samples, because probability samples allow us to then estimate back into the population the proportions, the prevalences as we called them. So when you say -- you looked at the first type of non-probability study, but you ultimately didn't rely on that, is that your explanation? In the meta-analysis. So the meta-analysis was based only on the -- well, me get your exact words. It's the -- well, the probability samples of the general population that allowed identification

A. I think I did both, and I show -- but in terms of drawing conclusion -- I looked at different things, but in terms of

drawing conclusion about prevalences, I relied on those studies that are probability studies and --

Q. Okay. Thank you. I wasn't clear on that from reading the article, and I appreciate that clarification.

So let's talk just about those probability studies then. The second group of studies you reviewed, the population -- well, the population-based studies do suffer from some methodological deficiencies, correct?

- A. The population-based studies?
- 10 | Q. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

11 A. All studies suffer from methodological deficiencies, but
12 the population based studies are the best ones that we have to
13 addresses this question.

Those are very large population-based studies that the entire United States Public Health Service relies on.

Those were the only evidence we have for prevalences of mental disorders in the United States.

Q. Thank you.

And because none of these studies was a priori designed to assess mental health of the LGB groups, they were not sophisticated in the measurement of sexual orientation, correct?

A. Yes. Those were general population studies and the LGB group were basically -- whoever happened to have been gay within the general population was included by virtue of the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

probability sampling. The studies classified respondents as "homosexual" or "heterosexual" only on the basis of past sexual behavior, rather than using a more complex matrix that assessed identity and attraction in addition to sexual behavior, correct? I actually -- if I said that, I assume it's correct, but I actually don't remember that all of them used even the exact same. But they usually would choose one measure and, therefore, they don't have a more complex measure. I -- I 10 don't remember independent that they all used the exact same measure that you just quoted, but --Please look at page 685 in the second column. It's the last full paragraph on that page, so it's above the carryover. And about part way down, I'm going to read it to you, it says -- after the sentence -- the first sentence says that: 16 "...they, too, suffer from methodological 17 deficiencies." But then I'll start reading in full. It says: "This is because none of these studies was a priori designed to assess mental health of LGB groups. As a result, they were not sophisticated in the measurement of sexual The studies classified orientation. respondents as homosexual or heterosexual

only on the basis of past sexual behavior. 1 In one year," and there is a citation to a 2 3 study, "in five years," and another citation, 4 "or over the lifetime," and a third citation, 5 "rather than using a more complex matrix that 6 assessed identity and attraction in addition 7 to sexual behavior," and another citation. "The problem of measurement could have 8 increased potential error due to 9 misclassification which, in turn, could have 10 led to selection bias." 11 Does that refresh your recollections? 12 Yes. I don't know if I'm referring here to a particular 13 group or study, but let me just say that if this is true about 14 all the studies that I use, but it may be. But in general, 15 this is true the way you described it. 16 There have been studies of this nature that use not 17 just this one thing, but they all use a selected measure that 18 19 they find the most relevant to their purpose. So I just can't confirm that all of the ones here --2.0 I would actually be surprised if they all used this exact same 21 measure, but --22 23 Well, just answer that -- I'm sorry. Go ahead. 24 Basically, the main point that they do not use the more 25 complex ways of measuring that I agree with.

Q. Thank you.

2.0

And these population studies also suffer because they included a very small number of LGB people, correct?

A. Correct. But let me just say, this is why I conducted the meta-analysis, which allows you to, in a sense, increase your sample because you are then aggregating all of them.

But, on the other hand, you are limited by some -maybe some comparisons that you might want to do. But to
conduct the meta-analysis I aggregated them to overcome this
problem of small sample sizes.

Q. And, please, look at page 688, if you would. And starting at the middle of the carryover paragraph, as you see it on 688, you write:

"My use of a meta-analytic technique to estimate combined ORs somewhat corrects this deficiency, but it is important to remember that a meta-analysis cannot overcome problems on the studies in which it is based."

Correct?

A. It cannot overcome all the problems, but in this particular example that you used, it certainly overcomes the problem of the sample size. That's because you are adding all of those sample together.

But as I said, there is no method that is like a hundred percent perfect, but it specifically overcomes the

problem of both sample size and, also, what we call sampling error. So that if you just rely on one sample, you might have some specific biases connected with that; but if you aggregate, you know, five samples, then that error will get lost within that bigger number of studies. So that's what it does.

2.0

But it certainly doesn't, for example, overcome the issue of measurement because they all -- you know, you can't change the measures that they use. So it depends on what, you know, you are talking about.

- Q. So it may overcome sample size, but it wouldn't overcome a lack of precision in the definition of LGB individuals, correct?
- A. I didn't say there was a lack of precision. But if there were a lack of precision -- I said they didn't use as a -- the measure that they did use could have been precise, but they didn't use a more complex measure.

But it wouldn't overcome measurement -- we call it measurement error, although it would help, because of that question -- because of that issue that I just said related to sampling error.

So, again, the best way to explain it is that when you take -- even if one study has an error and maybe another one has another error, when you aggregate them all together, they all part of it; but the larger pattern that you see will emerge despite different errors that will get -- they are much

```
better than if you just relied on the one study with the error
   or with the bias.
 2
         But still a meta-analysis cannot overcome all the problems
 3
    in the study on which it's based, correct?
 4
 5
   Α.
         No.
         And it's important to interpret results of a meta-analyses
 6
 7
    with caution on the critical perspective, correct?
         Absolutely, yeah.
 8
 9
         All right. And in this 2003 study, you described your
    Q.
    conclusions as:
10
              "Inconsistent with research and theoretical
11
              writings that can be described as a minority
12
              resilience hypothesis which claims that
13
              stigma does not negatively affect
14
              self-esteem."
15
              Correct?
16
         Yes.
17
   A.
         And you described your conclusions as:
18
    Q.
              "Inconsistent with studies that showed that
19
              blacks do not have a higher prevalence of
2.0
              mental disorders than whites as expected by
21
              minority stress formulations."
22
23
              Correct?
24
         Yes.
25
         You stated:
```

"Further research must address this apparent 1 contradiction." 2 3 Correct? 4 Yes. And please look at 688 again. I guess if you are still 5 Ο. 6 there, that would be great. 7 Α. Yes. You write: 8 Q. 9 "One problem which can provide a plausible alternative explanation for the findings 10 about prevalences of mental disorders in LGB 11 individuals is that bias related to cultural 12 13 differences between LGB and heterosexual persons inflates reports about history of 14 mental health symptoms. It is plausible that 15 cultural differences between LGB and 16 17 heterosexual individuals cause a response bias that led to overestimation of mental 18 disorders among LGB individuals. This would 19 happen if, for example, LGB individuals were 2.0 more likely to report mental health problems 2.1 than heterosexual individuals." 22 And then your article goes on to identify several 23

reasons why LGB individuals might be more likely to report

mental health problems than heterosexual individuals, correct?

24

2.5

A. Yes. That is one of the possible limitations in the sense that, you know, we look at -- as I said earlier when I described the methodology of working on studies, we look at all kinds of potential explanations and try to address them, assess whether or not they are feasible, whether or not they threaten the conclusion and so forth. So this is one of the things I considered in looking at this evidence.

Q. And you found -- and you said in your study that: "To the extent that such a response bias exists, it would have led researchers to overestimate the prevalence of mental disorders in LGB groups."
Correct?

A. To the extent that it exists, it would.

2.0

2.1

Q. And, all right. In his expert report Professor Herek wrote:

"In addition, lesbian, gay, bisexual people face other stressors. For example, because the Aids epidemic has had a disproportionate impact on the gay male community in the United States, many gay and bisexual men have experienced the loss of a life partner, and gay, lesbian and bisexual people alike have experienced extensive losses in their personal social networks resulting from the

```
death of close friends and acquaintances.
 1
              Treatment related to multiple losses is
 2
 3
              linked to higher levels of depressive
 4
              symptoms."
 5
              Do you agree with that statement?
 6
              MR. DUSSEAULT: Your Honor, could I ask for a
 7
   citation and page?
              MR. NIELSON: It's Paragraph 31, note 13 of the Herek
 8
 9
   report. That's at tab two, if you would like to look at that.
   And it's on --
10
11
        I'm sorry. What page?
12
   BY MR. NIELSON:
13
        Tab two, it's and it's Paragraph 31.
        Okay.
14
   Α.
        It appears to be on -- starts at the bottom of page 10.
15
   It's in the footnote. If you would like to look at that, I
16
   read it. I won't ask you to read it aloud, but if you just
17
   look at what he writes in that footnote.
18
        Which footnote?
19
20
        13. It starts at the bottom of page 10.
   Q.
21
        You want me to read what it says?
22
        Just to yourself.
   Q.
23
   Α.
        Oh, okay.
24
        My question is: Do you agree with that statement?
   already read --
25
```

1 Yes. He's actually referring to something that I wrote apparently, yes. 2 3 Okay. Thank you. 4 MR. NIELSON: Your Honor, I still have a fair amount 5 of material. Do you want me to continue? 6 THE COURT: Keep plowing. 7 MR. NIELSON: Yes, sir. Yes, your Honor. BY MR. NIELSON: 8 9 Please turn to tab 13 in the witness binder, Professor Meyer. 10 Yes. 11 Α. (Witness complied.) 12 You will see a document pre-marked DIX-1249. 13 Q. Yes. 14 A. Can you identify that document? 15 That's another article that I wrote, which was published 16 last year in 2009 in a journal that's called Journal of 17 Counseling Psychology. 18 19 Thank you. Q. MR. NIELSON: And, your Honor, we had a slight 20 21 technical difficulty with this document. The PDF version that we provided plaintiffs and, perhaps, the Court inadvertently 22 had an exhibit stamp on each page and so that obscured some of 23 the words. 24

We have corrected that problem in this hard copy, and

```
we can provide corrected PDFs to the plaintiffs and the Court,
   if that's necessary.
 2
              THE COURT: The copy in my binder looks fine.
 3
 4
              MR. NIELSON: The hard copy is correct. The PDF, I
 5
   believe, had the exhibit stamp on every page.
              THE COURT: All right. Well, why don't you correct
 6
 7
   that?
              MR. NIELSON: We will take care of that, but I assume
 8
   there is no prejudice since the citation was evident and
 9
    Professor Meyer wrote it.
10
              And I would like to move that into evidence,
11
   DIX-1249, the version without the exhibit stamps on every page.
12
13
              THE COURT: Fine.
14
              MR. NIELSON: Thank you.
              THE COURT: 1249 is admitted.
15
              (Defendants' Exhibit 1249 received in evidence.)
16
   BY MR. NIELSON:
17
         Please look at page 23, Professor Meyer.
18
   Q.
19
   Α.
         Yes.
        You write:
2.0
   Q.
              "But here lies the first problem for
21
              researchers of LGB populations.
22
23
              population's definition is elusive."
24
              So defining the LGB population as a potential
   methodological problem in comparing mental health outcomes of
25
```

LGB individuals to mental health outcomes of non-LGB individuals, correct? 2 Where is it? I assume that it is correct. 3 4 Well, that last question I didn't read from your report. 5 So if you disagree with it, let me know. 6 You wrote that: 7 "Here lies the first problem for researchers of LGB populations." 8 Where is that? 9 Α. I'm sorry. It's page 23, the second column, the bottom 10 Q. paragraph, about the middle. It's a carryover paragraph. 11 12 Okay. You write: 13 Q. "But here lies the first problem for 14 researchers of LGB populations. 15 population's definition is elusive." 16 17 And then I asked you this question: Is defining the LGB population a potential methodological problem in comparing 18 rates -- or comparing mental health outcomes of LGB individuals 19 to mental health outcomes of non-LGB individuals? 2.0 Is it... 21 Α. 22 A potential methodological problem? 23 I'm not sure what you mean, what kind of problem. 24 said, in this article defining the population, regardless of 25

LGB or any population, is the first step in conducting a study.

And any study faces the challenge of definition of the

population because if you want to sample, you cannot -- you

know, you have to know who it is that you are sampling from,

and there is a variety of steps that one takes in doing this.

This is nothing specific to LGB populations, and some

of the quotes I use here are just methodological issues.

So when you say it causes a problem, I don't exactly see that as a problem. I see it as just, this is part of what we do when we design a study. We --

Q. Okay.

- **A.** -- look through all of those issues.
- **Q.** My question was whether it causes a -- raises a potential problem.
 - A. You know, I can come up with scenarios, I guess, but I cannot answer that question in that generic form. I would have to see what exactly we're talking about.

It doesn't create a problem in principle, the fact that we have questions of definition. As I said, all studies start with questions of definition. So that fact doesn't create a problem.

- Q. Now, in the article we were just looking at you noted that the population-based studies, one of the methodological problems they suffered from was that they did not use a sophisticated definition of the LGB population, correct?
- || **A.** That's not exactly how I said it. What I said is that

they used a -- that's, perhaps, a limitation that they used one type of a definition, but I -- I mean, obviously, I didn't 2 3 think that there was that great of a problem and, obviously, 4 the reviews of this journal didn't think it was that great of a 5 problem, and the people who quote it -- you know, it's not --6 you are trying to suggest that it's some big problem. It's 7 not. Well, I would like to explore that based on what you wrote 8 in this article. 9 As you said in the first line, "The population's 10 definition is elusive, " correct? 11 The population definition is elusive in every study. 12 is one of the greatest sampling methodologies. Sudman devotes 13 a lot of effort to try to address that and I quoted it here. 14 As I said, this is the first step of trying to 15 establish a study. If I wanted to study men, I would have to 16 17 define what age group, is there any particular residence that I'm interested in or a region of the country. 18 This is just basic survey methodology. This is the 19 first step you have to define. And it is -- it is challenging, 2.0 21 you know. If you are interested in issues related to birth 22 problems, are you going to study women of a particular age who 23 are -- you know, so those are just normal things. 24 What is a Latino? Do you include Mexicans or do you

include Puerto Ricans? This is what I'm talking about, that

this is the issue that sampling methodologies confront as they design a study. And this is the first step, is to define a population, which we call the general population. Then you define the sampling population, which is a more specific definition of where you want to sample from. And there's further problems and issues of definition.

Q. Let's talk about the first question you said, the general sample, not specific sample for LGB individuals.

Is there a correct definition of the general LGB population?

A. Is there one correct definition? As I explained in this article, the definition depends on your purpose in the research. So just as there is no correct definition of Latino, there is no correct or one correct -- it is correct if it is responsive to the research questions that you are trying to answer.

So it is only correct in that sense that, did you do a good job in defining the population so that you are getting at the population that you intending to study? You know, we talk about the kind of theoretical population and the actual population. So it is correct only in the sense that you correctly sample the population of intention.

So if I wanted to study last Latinos and I defined it as Mexicans and Puerto Ricans, there is nothing incorrect about it because I didn't include another Latino group, if that's

what I was interested in. So in the same sense here, there is a variety of ways 2 3 that you can measure what we are calling here in a general way 4 LGB. So, for example, you might want to measure the behavior 5 as the only thing that you are interested in, in which case 6 that will be a correct thing, if it makes sense for your 7 purpose. Okay. So I want to ask you two "yes" or "no" questions, 8 if it's possible. 9 First, there is no one correct definition of the LGB 10 population, correct? 11 For the purpose of particular research. 12 Okay. Second, definitions of sexual minorities vary, 13 correct? 14 All definitions, by definition, vary. If you are 15 16 talking about definitions, they vary. Let's be more concrete. Let's look at page 24, the first 17 Q. full paragraph. You write -- and this is starting with the 18 19 second -- yes, the second sentence of the first full paragraph in the first column on page 24. 2.0 You write: 21 "Researchers have distinguished among sexual 22 23 identity, sexual behavior and attraction. 24 Although these overlap -- that is, a person 25 who is attracted to same-sex individuals may

also have sex with same-sex individuals --1 this overlap is not great. Only among 2 3 15 percent of women and 24 percent of men do 4 the three categories overlap." 5 In this particular study that I quoted, yes. 6 Q. So we have three partially, but only partially overlapping 7 concepts that have been used by researchers to define the LGB population; sexual identity, sexual behavior and attraction, 8 correct? 9 Again, they might have used just one of them or they might 10 have used more. So those are three ways of defining that 11 people have used in the field, yes. 12 And some researchers may use a combination of those, 13 correct? 14 15 Exactly. All right. And let's break this down. First of all, 16 sexual identity. Identity labels -- and even whether a person 17 uses an LGB identity label at all -- vary across generations, 18 racial ethnic groups, geographical regions, education levels 19 2.0 and other group characteristics, correct? 21 Α. Yes. Not all LGB individuals define themselves as LGB until 22 23 some developmental tasks along the coming-out process have been 24 achieved, correct?

A. Yes.

- Q. This means that at any point some people who answer truthfully that they are not LGB will, at a later point, define themselves as LGB, correct?
 - A. Yes, exactly, because they haven't yet -- I referred before to the coming-out process.

So at some point you might talk to a person and they would either hide it or have not yet defined themselves like that, and that they would truthfully answer no to the question.

Q. Thank you.

And, furthermore, because of cultural diversity, some people who engage in same-sex behavior, who may be considered by others as sexual minorities and who may be of interest to the researcher, would not identify themselves as LGB, nor consider themselves a sexual minority by any name, regardless of the researcher's definition, correct?

- A. Yes.
- Q. So it's possible that the same individual may honestly give different answers when asked about his or her sexual identity at different times in his life, correct?
- **A.** Yes.
- **Q.** And it's possible that an individual who engages in 22 same-sex behavior may honestly not identify himself or herself 23 as LGB, correct?
- 24 | A. Yes.
- 25 Q. And both of these -- well, that assumes -- both of those

- 1 questions assume that an individual gives an honest answer when
- 2 asked his or her sexual identity, but it's also possible that
- 3 some individuals will not give an honest answer to that
- 4 | question, correct?
- $5 \parallel A$. Obviously, that's possible, that people would not give an
- 6 honest answer.
- 7 \mathbb{Q} . And, in fact, for LGB individuals, there may be particular
- 8 | reasons why they would -- might be reluctant to answer that
- 9 question, correct?
- 10 A. Yes. As I described before, concealing would be that --
- 11 | what I would refer to that.
- 12 Q. Thank you.
- 13 Let's turn next to sexual behavior. Behavior --
- 14 | behavioral definitions also vary, correct?
- 15 **A.** Behavioral definitions of what?
- 16 Q. Of sexual orientation.
- 17 | A. I'm not sure what you -- I guess they could differ in this
- 18 | time frame that people might have looked at, yes.
- 19 Q. Yes. So they could look at different time periods,
- 20 | correct?
- 21 **A.** Right.
- 22 Q. All right. And because more people have same-sex sex in
- 23 adolescence, defining sexual orientation as "sexual behavior"
- 24 | ever includes more people than defining it in the past year,
- 25 | correct?

A. Right. But that will be true for anything. If you look at "ever," you get more.

2.0

- Q. For example, you could ask someone whether they were African-American ever or African-American in the last year?
- A. That would actually -- that is a very interesting phenomenon, but that is also possible.

African-American is an identity, so the identity part of it could vary and, in fact, it does vary.

People who move into the United States, for example, who are by our definition African-Americans may not describe themselves as African-American or even black.

And there are studies that show that people who come, for example, from the Caribbean who are dark colored, their parents don't describe themselves as black, but their offsprings after being educated in the United States and socialized do.

So it -- definitions always vary. Certainly, with African-Americans, the term itself is relatively recent. Black was used before that. And Negro was used even before that. Senator Reid got into trouble for using that term.

So those identities change and they are responsive to the social context in many different ways, but -- obviously, the population itself doesn't change, but how people refer to themselves might change.

Q. Okay. But for LGB individuals, the variance in the time

- period you are looking at can lead to significantly different estimates, correct, of the population? 2
- As I said, again, that is true for anything. We always 3 4 look at lifetime, for example, versus one year. So if you look 5 at the one-year rate of a disorder, it will be a lot less than a lifetime.
- 7 Q. Thank you.

Now, there are also different ways in which a definition of sexual orientation that focuses on attraction might vary, correct?

Yes. 11 Α.

6

8

9

- All right. Now the size of the LGB population might vary 12 a great deal depending on how sexual orientation is defined, 13
- correct? 14
- Right. 15 Α.
- 16 Thank you. Q.
- 17 And please look at tab 12 in the witness binder. will find an Exhibit pre-marked DIX-1248. 18
- (Witness complied.) 19
- 20 Wait, I'm sorry. Oh, 1248, yes.
- And can you identify this document? 21 Q.
- 22 Umm --A.
- 23 I apologize. It doesn't have a cover sheet. It's an 24 article you wrote with Laura Dean and others entitled "Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual and Transgender Health Findings and Concerns" 25

```
that was published in the Journal of Gay and Lesbian Medical
   Association. Is that the document?
 2
               That is -- that is actually a report that tries to
 3
        Yes.
 4
   summarize some of the findings, health findings.
 5
              MR. NIELSON: And I believe this is also PX 1004,
 6
   which I believe is in evidence.
 7
              THE COURT: I can check that.
              MR. NIELSON: Could I ask the Court to confirm that
 8
 9
   that is Laura Dean, Meyer findings in the "Lesbian, Gay,
   Bisexual and Transgender Health Findings and Concerns"?
10
              MR. DUSSEAULT: Correct.
11
12
              MR. NIELSON: Okay. So that's in evidence.
   BY MR. NIELSON:
13
        All right. Please look at page 135 in the exhibit. It's
14
   a lengthy exhibit. And that's towards the -- not quite the
15
    end, but towards the end.
16
17
   Α.
        Yes.
              (Witness complied.)
18
        And in the second full paragraph in the second column you
19
   write:
2.0
              "Recent national studies estimating the
21
              percentage of the population that falls into
22
              each of the three broad dimensions of
23
24
              identity, behavior and attraction show that
25
              one to four percent of the population
```

identifies as lesbian or gay, two to 1 six percent of the population reports some 2 3 same-sex behavior in the previous five years, 4 and up to 21 percent of the population 5 reports same-sex attraction at least once in adulthood." 6 7 And I will skip the citations. And then you go on to say: 8 9 "Therefore, depending upon how it is defined and measured, 1 to 21 percent of the 10 population could be classified as lesbian or 11 gay to some degree with the remainder 12 classified as bisexual or heterosexual to 13 some degree." 14 Correct? 15 If that's what it says here. And, obviously, again, 16 depending -- you can -- depending on the definition that you 17 use for the finding of population, you will get different 18 rates. If it's more expansive, inclusive, then you will get a 19 high rate than if it is less expansive and inclusive. 2.0 2.1 Now, 1 to 21 percent seems like a great deal of variance. 22 I don't think anybody would say that attraction is a true 23 measure of LGB, what we are talking about. 24 So I think one of the things is when you -- when you measure things, you realize that it is not exactly the way you 25

think it is. So attraction is a very, very fluid thing in the 2 3 sense that, for example, I -- a woman tends to have less 4 inhibitions about saying, oh, this other person is attractive. 5 That doesn't make her a lesbian because she said that. 6 that's why I'm saying, it's a definitional thing. 7 For me, in my studies, I use identity, which is the standard that we use in the U.S. census, for example -- not in 8 LGB, which is not measured, but, let's say, on race. So, you 9 know, those things are the same issues in measuring any kind of 10 group's identity. 11 If you wanted to, for example, measure race by skin 12 tone, you will find that you will have a huge number of people 13 who maybe have a darker skin tone, but are not identified as 14 black. 15 16 So to me, the attraction -- personally, as a researcher, I don't use the attraction definition because I 17 find it very broad. And I use the identity when I am 18 interested in issues, such as the ones we discussed today; but 19 2.0 I might use behavior if I'm interested, for example, in HIV-related risk. 2.1 22 So every researcher uses definition based on the 23 purpose of their study or survey or whatever it is. 24 Okay, thank you. 25 MR. NIELSON: And, your Honor, I had more

```
methodological questions, but I'm going to skip ahead. I think
   we have dwelled on that long enough.
 2
              MR. DUSSEAULT: Your Honor, may I raise one issue,
 3
 4
   just simply to note we have not had a chance to look at 1004.
 5
   And while it is Meyer and Dean, it's not the same article as
 6
   Defendants' 1248. We don't have an objection to Defendants'
 7
    1248, but we didn't want the record to reflect they were the
 8
   same.
 9
              MR. NIELSON: Thank you for -- I appreciate that
   clarification.
10
              And, your Honor, I would move DIX-1248 into evidence
11
   then.
12
              THE COURT: Very well. So admitted.
13
              (Defendants' Exhibit 1248 received in evidence.)
14
15
              MR. NIELSON:
                            Thank you.
   BY MR. NIELSON:
16
        Now, Professor Meyer, it's your opinion that limiting
17
   marriage to opposite-sex couples causes minority stress for LGB
18
   individuals, correct?
19
20
        That limiting -- can you repeat?
        Yes. Now, it is your opinion that limiting marriage to
21
   Q.
22
    opposite-sex couples causes minority stress for LGB
   individuals, correct?
23
24
        Yes, as I described earlier.
```

And it's your opinion that minority stress causes a higher

25

Q.

prevalence of mental disorders, a higher prevalence of certain symptoms of distress that don't rise to the level of formal disorders; including mood, anxiety and substance use problems, lower levels of well-being and higher incidents of suicide attempts, correct?

A. Correct.

- Q. Now, does limiting marriage to opposite-sex couples cause minority stress for all gays and lesbians or only for lesbians or gay couples who wish to marry?
- 10 A. I would say all, because of -- as I explained earlier, it
 11 is the message you send.

So you can think about the event of marriage in a sense and say, well, this would only affect those people who want to marry. But the message that I described earlier of rejection or disapproval, clearly applies to all gay people. So they would all -- you know, I can't predict what every single person that sees this, but there would be something that affects the rest of the social environment regardless if you are personally interested in getting married.

It is the message, in this case in the constitutional amendment, that demonstrates -- that is of interest, or the meaning as I said before, the social meaning.

- Q. So it affects all of them and not just those, not -- all LGB and not just those wishing to marry, correct?
 - A. It has the potential to effect -- you know, I never said

that -- minority stress doesn't affect of single person in the same way. It is a potential.

Q. Thank you for that clarification.

Are you aware that same-sex marriage has been legal since 2004 in Massachusetts?

A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. Do LGB individuals suffer from a lower prevalence of mental health disorders in Massachusetts than in California?
 - A. Well, the first answer is I don't really know, but that's now how I -- I wouldn't expect it exactly in that way that you are suggesting; that that would be the test of that, because Massachusetts is not, you know, an isolate in the United States and, you know, it would be more complicated for me to assess.

So that alone would not change everything. So it's just one aspect of it. And, certainly, I would think that people in Massachusetts who are gay would feel more supported and welcome, so to speak. So in that sense, it would reduce the stress that they have somewhat.

- 19 Q. But your answer is you don't know, correct?
- 20 | A. Well, I don't -- I don't have the data on that.
- 21 Q. You don't have data?
- 22 **A.** Right.
- 23 Q. Okay. Thank you.

Do LGB individuals suffer from a lower prevalence of mood, anxiety and substance use problems that do not meet the

criteria for formal psychiatric disorders in Massachusetts and in California?

A. Again, the study wasn't done in the way that you are describing it, although a study was done looking at states where there's greater rights for gay and lesbian people, and it did show those things that you are alluding to.

So it wasn't exactly done in the way that you are saying. It wasn't Massachusetts versus California. But in general in the United States states that offer more protections, gay and lesbian populations there fare better than in states that do not offer such protections.

So to the extent that you can use that as a suggestion that it does have this effect that you are alluding to, but I don't know of a study that compared California to Massachusetts on any of those outcomes.

- Q. Okay. And I was planning to ask you about the other outcomes, but the answer would be the same?
- 18 A. Right. I don't know of a study that tested it either way.
- \mathbf{Q} . Thank you.

2.0

Are you aware that same-sex marriage has been legal since 2001 in the Netherlands?

- **A.** I am going to believe you on that. I'm aware that it's legal.
- $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$ I will represent to you that it was.
- **A.** Okay.

- 1 Q. Do LGB individuals suffer from a lower prevalence of
- 2 mental disorders in the Netherlands than in California?
- 3 $\|\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{I} \mathbf{I}\|$ actually don't know the answer to that, although
- 4 there are studies that -- I don't know the answer to that.
- 5 Q. Would your answer be the same if I asked about the other
- 6 outcomes you identified?
- 7 | A. Right. I don't -- I don't know the comparison. Honestly,
- 8 | I don't know that I can tell you the rates of all the disorders
- 9 specifically to California, so I couldn't compare them.
- 10 Most of the studies that I relied on were national
- 11 studies that were not separated by state.
- 12 Q. Okay. Thank you.
- Now, you are aware that California allows same-sex
- 14 couples to register as domestic partners, correct?
- 15 A. Yes, I've learned that.
- 16 Q. And you believe that, quote, domestic partnership has
- 17 almost no meaning, and, to some extent, it's incomprehensible
- 18 to people as a social institution, correct?
- 19 **A.** Yes.
- 20 Q. And I apologize, I said "quote." That's -- that was from
- 21 | your deposition?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 Q. And for opposing counsel's benefit, I'll identify that as
- 24 the transcript at page 80, 9 to 11.
- 25 **A.** I believe I talked about it today, as well.

Yes. And you believe that domestic partnership reduces 1 the value of same-sex intimate relationships, correct? 2 3 Α. Reduces -- yes. 4 Okay. And if domestic partnership and marriage were both available to same-sex couples, you think they would probably 5 6 not choose domestic partnership, correct? 7 I would think that. THE COURT: How are you doing on time, Mr. Nielson? 8 MR. NIELSON: Fifteen minutes? 9 THE COURT: All right. 10 MR. NIELSON: I'll try. That may be slightly 11 optimistic, but I'm cutting a lot of -- I'm trying to cut a lot 12 of chaff from the wheat. 13 THE COURT: The longer we talk, the less wheat 14 15 that's ... BY MR. NIELSON: 16 Please turn to page -- or tab 14 in the witness binder. 17 I'm going to represent to you that this is a 18 California statute governing domestic partnerships. 19 20 Okay. Α. And I'm going to read you part of this. And we could read 21 22 it all, but I am not going to read it all. 23 If you look at section A, it says: 24 "Registered domestic partners shall have the

same rights, protections, and benefits, and

shall be subject to the same 1 responsibilities, obligations and duties 2 3 under law, whether they derive from statutes, 4 administrative regulations, court rules, 5 government policies, common law, or any other 6 provisions or sources of law as are granted 7 to and imposed upon spouses." Were you aware that California law treated domestic 8 partners in this manner? 9 I'm not aware of all of the legal issues around it, but I 10 was aware that it is at least approximate in the same rights 11 and benefits. 12 But, as I said, I wasn't in my testimony or in my 13 reports talking about those benefits and rights. I was talking 14 about the social meaning and the social message that marriage 15 conveys. So I wasn't studying that particular aspect of the --16 17 So that does not, in any way, change the opinions that you've offered in the case? 18 No. It certainly is a good thing that they offer 19 2.0 benefits, but I'm just saying that's not what I was focusing 21 My focus is on the social meaning, the social place of 22 that --23 Q. You --24 -- of marriage. 25 I'm sorry. Are you complete?

A. I'm sorry.

- 2 Q. Do you believe that domestic partnerships stigmatize gay and lesbian individuals?
- 4 | THE COURT: I'm sorry, what was the question?
- 5 BY MR. NIELSON:
- 6 Q. Do you believe that domestic partnerships stigmatize gay 7 and lesbian individuals?
- 8 **A.** Yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. Please look at tab 15 in the witness binder.
- 10 You will see a document premarked DIX1067. And, as
- 11 you can see, it's a letter from California Assembly Member
- 12 Jackie Goldberg. And, as you can see, it concerns legislation
- 13 | titled "AB205."
- 14 **A.** I'm going to take your word on that.
- 15 $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\cdot}\|$ And if you look at the heading under it, it says:
- 16 "AB205 will provide registered domestic
- partners with a number of significant new
- 18 rights, benefits, responsibilities and
- 19 obligations."
- 20 And I'm going to represent to you that this -- that
- 21 AB205 was enacted into law, and the principal portion of that
- 22 | law as amended was the statute we were just looking at.
- 23 **A.** Okay.
- 24 $\|\mathbf{Q}_{\bullet}\|$ Okay. Please turn to the last page of the exhibit. And
- 25 please look at the italics, the italicized statement about two

and a half inches up from the bottom of the page. Uh-huh. Yes. 2 Α. 3 Q. It says: 4 "This bill is sponsored by Equality 5 California. Other advocacy organizations 6 that collaborated on the drafting of this 7 bill included Lambda Legal Defense and Education Fund, National Center for Lesbian 8 9 Rights, and ACLU." Yes. 10 Α. Are you familiar with Equality California? 11 I believe they are the organization that opposed 12 Proposition 8. 13 Right. And, in fact, you contributed money to the 14 Equality California's No On 8 campaign, correct? 15 I should become familiar with them. 16 17 (Laughter) Do you believe Equality California would sponsor 18 legislation that stigmatizes LGB individuals? 19 Do I believe that they intend to stigmatize? No. 2.0 2.1 But I think that that doesn't change my answer to the question about domestic partnership. So whatever their 22 23 intention was, I'm sure, to better the lives of gay and lesbian individuals in California, but, nonetheless, having a second 24 25 type of an institution that is clearly not the one that is

- desired by most people is stigmatizing. All right. And if I were to ask you the same question 2 Q. 3 about the involvement of Lambda Legal Defense and Education 4 Fund, National Center for Lesbian Rights, and the ACLU, your 5 answer would be the same, correct? 6 Α. Exactly. 7 Q. All right. Thank you. MR. NIELSON: Your Honor, I would like to move 8 9 DIX1067 into evidence. MR. DUSSEAULT: No objection. 10 THE COURT: Very well, 1067 is in. 11 (Defendants' Exhibit 1067 received in evidence.) 12 BY MR. NIELSON: 13 I'd like to direct your attention to tab 18. You'll find 14 a document premarked DIX1020. Can you identify this document? 15 I got it. 16 17 I don't believe I've seen it before. It says, "Article Proposition 8 and the future of American Same-Sex 18 19 Marriage Activism." But I have not read it before, I believe. And who is the author? 2.0 Q. 21 Jeffrey Redding. Are you familiar with Jeffrey Redding? 22 Q. 23 No. I -- I don't think so. I don't remember the name. 24 All right. I'm going to -- I won't question you about
- 25 | that document then.

```
1
              Have you done any research to determine whether,
   since it adopted AB205 -- and that's this bill we were just
 2
    talking about -- LGB individuals in California suffer from
 3
 4
   worse mental health outcomes than LGB individuals in any
 5
   jurisdiction that recognizes same-sex relationships as
 6
   marriages?
 7
   Α.
        No.
        Okay. Now, at your deposition -- I would like you to turn
 8
   to -- you made a statement, and I want to confirm that it was,
 9
   in fact, a statement that you made. And it's -- turn to tab 7,
10
   if you would. That's a transcript of your deposition. And
11
    look at page 149. And the pages are a little confusing.
12
   There's four on each page.
13
14
   Α.
        That's okay.
        And it's actually page 38 in the continuous pagination at
15
16
    the bottom, if that's helpful.
17
   Α.
        I got it.
             MR. DUSSEAULT: Your Honor, I'd object if it's not
18
   being offered to impeach anything.
19
2.0
              THE COURT: Why are you offering it?
21
              MR. NIELSON: I was going to ask him whether he
22
    agreed with it. Perhaps I should ask him whether he agreed
23
   with it, first. And then if he doesn't --
24
              THE COURT: Why don't you ask him the statement --
25
              MR. NIELSON: Yes, exactly.
```

THE COURT: -- without referring to the deposition. 1 MR. NIELSON: Right. 2 3 BY MR. NIELSON: 4 When you speak of a gay and lesbian person whose intimate 5 relationship has not been granted societal approval, would that 6 include gays and lesbians who are in a domestic partnership? 7 Yes, in the same sense that I discussed earlier, about the social meaning of marriage versus domestic partnership. 8 Okay. Now, let's look at the deposition transcript. It's 9 lines -- page 149, line 16 through 20. And you can continue 10 past that, if you need to, for context. 11 Could you -- you don't need to read it aloud, but 12 could you read that and tell me whether you gave that testimony 13 at your deposition. 14 Did I give this --15 Did you say this at your deposition? 16 I don't have an independent recollection, but I read it 17 here and I presume that's correct. 18 Okay. And the statement -- the answer you gave to the 19 2.0 question today was "yes." 21 And the answer at your deposition was: I describe here -- when I talk about 22 23 these unions in the sense of the impact on 24 stigma, I'm really not considering domestic 25 partners, domestic partnership. And,

admittedly, they have many benefits, 1 including maybe something that you were 2 3 referring to just recently. But in terms of 4 the impact that I'm referring to here, I 5 wasn't talking about domestic partnerships." 6 And, as you said, you have no reason to think that 7 you didn't give that testimony, correct? Right. But I'm really not sure what the context of this 8 is and what -- what we were talking about before, so I don't 9 know that it is replicating the question that I just agreed to. 10 But my answer is that, you know, what I just told you 11 is what I still believe. I don't know that that necessarily in 12 any way contradicts that. 13 MR. DUSSEAULT: Your Honor, if it's being offered for 14 impeachment, could I add additional language in the interest of 15 the rule of completeness? 16 THE COURT: Very well. 17 MR. DUSSEAULT: I'll just read it in, so it's part of 18 19 the record, as well. This is from page 153, starting at line 2.0 3. 21 "QUESTION: Perhaps domestic partnership is confusing and not well understood. Does it 22 23 minimize the significance of the 24 relationship? 25 "ANSWER: Yes, because, as I explained

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

before, domestic partnership is compared with marriage. It refers to a similar thing. refers to a couple being together, let's say to a union. And, therefore, when you use 'domestic partners,' an obvious comparison would be with marriage. Now, in this case or in any case, really, domestic partnership is offered clearly as a secondary option, not as the most desirable option." THE COURT: Very well. Shall we move on, Mr. Nielson? MR. NIELSON: Yes, we shall. BY MR. NIELSON: Professor Meyer, you believe that laws are perhaps the strongest of social structures that uphold and enforce stigma, correct? Yes. I believe I wrote that. Yes. As we've discussed, California recognizes same-sex relationships as domestic partnerships with essentially all the rights of marriage, correct? Yes, I have to -- again, I have no knowledge of the law, specifically, but I understand that that's the case. Are you aware that California law prohibits discrimination

A. I'll take your word for that. I think I know that, but...

on the basis of sexual orientation in housing?

- 1 Q. Are you aware that California law prohibits discrimination 2 on the basis of sexual orientation in businesses' provisions of
- 3 | services?
- 4 | A. Again, I'm not independently aware, necessarily, of all
- 5 the legal issues of protection, but I -- I'm aware now that you
- 6 | tell me that.
- $7 \parallel \mathbf{Q}$. Okay. Are you aware that California law prohibits
- 8 discrimination on the basis of sexual orientation in
- 9 employment?
- 10 | A. The same answer.
- 11 Q. Okay. And I could go on and on. And in the interest of
- 12 time, I won't. But let me just ask you this:
- 13 Leaving aside the question of marriage, are you aware
- 14 of any other state whose laws reflect less structural stigma
- 15 than California?
- 16 A. Leaving aside the question of marriage? As I said, I'm
- 17 | not as familiar with the details of the protections either here
- 18 or in other states, so it's going to be a very -- I cannot
- 19 | answer that.
- 20 Q. Okay. So the answer is, "I don't know," correct?
- 21 | A. I just cannot answer that. I don't know what the
- 22 different legal -- I would have to study this and look at this.
- 23 Q. Understood. Thank you.
- 24 Now, you talked about Proposition 8 sending a message
- 25 about the value of gay and lesbian relationships, in your

direct testimony. Did you intend by that to offer an opinion about the purposes of the people who drafted or voted for 2 3 Proposition 8? 4 No. 5 MR. NIELSON: All right. No further questions, Your 6 Honor. 7 THE COURT: Very well. Any redirect? MR. DUSSEAULT: Yes, Your Honor. 8 9 THE COURT: Mr. Dusseault. DIRECT EXAMINATION 10 BY MR. DUSSEAULT: 11 Good afternoon, Dr. Meyer. 12 A. Good afternoon. 13 Almost evening, but I'll say afternoon. 14 Just a couple things I wanted to follow up on. 15 Mr. Nielson spent a good bit of time this afternoon talking 16 about your work in minority stress and social stress theory, 17 and the implications of that work with respect to groups, not 18 gay and lesbian individuals but, let's say, racial minorities. 19 20 Do you recall that? 21 Α. Yes. 22 Okay. Now, is the point of this discussion that you have 23 found in some of the research that certain racial or ethnic 24 minorities, while they experience some stressors as a result of

minority status, may not experience the same health effects as

a result? 1 Correct. That specifically with African-Americans, or 2 3 blacks, in the United States. 4 Now, Doctor --5 And I should just correct. This is not that I found this, 6 but this is a finding that definitely is in the literature. 7 It's not all my studies empirically, but there are studies -- I found it in the sense that I read about it and so forth. 8 Okay. Now, Dr. Meyer, do you have any views as to any 9 differences between, let's say, the African-American minority 10 community and the minority community of gay men and lesbians 11 that might explain some of the differences in terms of the 12 outcomes that flow from stressors? 13 Well, of course, as I mentioned, the reason we look at 14 differences in the patterns of results is exactly to, as I 15 said, improve our models. 16 And one of the things that we, therefore, analyze --17 and it's not just me -- it would begin to look at, well, what 18 is different between those two populations that might help us 19 understand the workings of these social stressors. 2.0 21 In terms of African-American findings, there are several areas of further study that we're interested in. 22

The first one that is most often advanced is the --

and I'm discussing this in comparison to gay and lesbian

here -- is that while African-Americans are definitely exposed

23

24

to racism, in their socialization process, especially earlier on, they are typically exposed to greater benefits of the resources that I described before as coping and social support, for the very simple fact that they typically grow up in black communities.

Of course, there might be some unique experiences, but there's evidence that being socialized by your family and educated about racism, being -- taking part in, for example, institutions, black churches that have for, really, decades if not centuries, been in place to combat the effects of racism, all the messages of racism. So as a person growing up and being socialized, an African-American person benefits from this social support affiliation.

As I described earlier, regarding gay and lesbian people, that is not how they grow up. Most gay and lesbian people, like most people in society, internalize very negative attitudes, and they do not have along the way access to gay supportive services, and so forth, until a later point where they have already come out and, you know, really made the big step of affiliating themself with some of the support.

So this is one thing --

Q. Before you move on, let me be sure I understand this. So in the African-American community, for example, typically, an African-American youth growing up would commonly be surrounded by African-American siblings, parents, grandparents, perhaps

```
community, church friends, et cetera. Is that right?
         Correct.
 2
   Α.
        But with gay men and lesbians growing up, they may not
 3
   have the same community support and socialization support?
 4
 5
         I would say they definitely do not have the --
 6
   Q.
        Okay.
 7
         -- those type of -- the equivalent type of support
   addressing gay and lesbian -- an affirmative gay and lesbian
 8
   approach. As I said, it's almost -- it's actually the
 9
   opposite.
10
              And many times we found within even families gay and
11
    lesbian individuals are shunned or are harmed in many ways,
12
    including violence. So it's almost like the direct opposite of
13
    the support.
14
              THE COURT: Are you talking about African-American
15
   gays and lesbians or nonAfrican-American gays and lesbians?
16
17
                            Thank you, Your Honor.
              THE WITNESS:
18
              In this comparison, we're comparing the overall
   African-American nongay with overall white nongay.
19
20
              In a previous response --
              THE COURT: I see.
21
22
              THE WITNESS: -- we were discussing a different study
23
    that looked at gay African-American versus gay white, in which
24
    I was talking about the added element of racism.
25
              But, as Mr. Nielson pointed out, this finding is also
```

```
true in the general population, nongay population, where
   African-Americans also have lower rates. And, therefore,
 2
 3
    that's why this analogy -- it makes sense in the way that I was
 4
   answering.
   BY MR. DUSSEAULT:
 5
        But when comparing the gay and lesbian population to the
 6
 7
   African-American nongay population, your testimony is that
    there is more socialization and support in the African-American
 8
    community that may explain a difference in certain outcomes?
 9
        Yes. That's one of the differences that may explain.
10
              THE COURT: More socialization and support among --
11
12
              THE WITNESS: Nongay --
              THE COURT: Wait a minute. More socialization and
13
    support for African-American gays and lesbians?
14
15
              THE WITNESS: Nongay.
16
              THE COURT: Nongays.
              THE WITNESS: So let me just clarify.
17
              We're talking about two different comparisons that
18
   are joined only by the general theoretical perspective of how a
19
2.0
    social stress could affect people.
21
              So the analogy here is that African-Americans being
22
    themselves, of course, subject to racism should have a parallel
23
    finding that we find in the gay versus straight in
24
   African-American nongay with white nongay.
              It's very different, but you expect some kind of a
25
```

parallel that the stress related to prejudice is affecting them, then it should affect also blacks.

And the questions here were, well, why isn't it true for nongay African-Americans versus nongay white where it's true for gay versus straight, regardless of color?

So this is really going to a whole different area that is not pertinent, specifically, to what I testified regarding gay and lesbian population. This is expanding towards an analysis of broader sociological theories, and looking at some parallels in the findings across groups and across ideas.

BY MR. DUSSEAULT:

2.0

Q. Right. And let me clarify. The line of questioning that I want to follow up on now was a line of questioning from Mr. Nielson, suggesting that the -- if the theory of minority stress is taken from the gay and lesbian minority population to the African-American minority population, would you expect exactly the same health outcomes; and does that fact that you might not see the same health outcomes in some way suggest that the model doesn't work.

Do you recall that discussion?

A. Right. And my answer is that it does not indicate that the model doesn't work. It indicates that there are differences in the characteristics of the -- that this is not a perfect comparison.

2.0

There are differences in the characteristics of race, in terms of blacks versus white nongays, and that from that comparison and the comparison of gay versus straight, a major difference is that blacks are socialized with a lot of -- with a variety of access to support for their race, that comes to counter some of the effects of racism; whereas, gays are socialized with homophobia and without, in their families and original communities, say, access to this -- to a similar gay-related affirmation.

- Q. In some of the exhibits we've seen today, we've seen the term "minority stress" and the term "social stress." Are those the same things?
- A. As I responded to Mr. Nielson, social stress can be maybe thought of as a broader category. And within that, in the African-American comparison, people have talked about racism as stress. In the nongay African-American versus white, people have discussed it as a racism as stress.

So I would put it within the general social stress approach, because here we're looking at racism; whereas, in my examples with gay and lesbian versus heterosexuals, we're looking at homophobia and some of the other things.

So they're not obviously the same, but there's some theoretical parallel there in the way that you study those different populations, the different comparisons.

Q. But when you use the term "minority stress" in your

research, are you referring, generally, to all minorities, or specifically to gays and lesbians?

- A. No. As I said, minority stress, which is a term that I helped popularize, refers to sexual minorities. And it is almost exclusively used in the literature with reference to sexual minorities and, I would dare say, many times referring to my own articles on that matter.
- Q. And the four processes that we spent a fair amount of time on this afternoon, that embody minority stress, are those processes of general application, or specific to the gay and lesbian population?
- **A.** Obviously, they are specific to the gay and lesbian population.
 - Q. Let me ask about one in particular: concealment.

Would concealment be a similarly significant issue when you're talking about the gay and lesbian population, as compared to a racial minority such as the African-American population?

A. Not -- not at all in the same way, for obvious reasons.

Although, the -- the answer is no.

There are some instances where somebody may be able to conceal his black identity, but it is -- mostly, we don't think of concealment when we think about the model of racism.

Q. Let me also ask you, in this comparison of the gay and lesbian minority to the African-American minority, about the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

issue of structural stigma. And you talked about the role of law. Today in America, are African-Americans subject to legal structural stigma in any way comparable to Prop 8? Well, obviously, as I said, this will be another difference between the two populations. When I was saying there are several differences, this is a major difference. I believe that, at least since 1964, there are no legal types of racism in the United States. So in terms of the power of the law and the state, there is no endorsement of racism. That does not mean that racism has abated. But, certainly, it is not parallel to what we were discussing today in terms of the structures of the law. Is there any racial minority in the United States that's denied the right to marry? I don't think so. But... With this issue of the extent to which a theory of minority stress or social stress applies to, let's say, a racial minority group, does any of the discussion or findings in that area in any way undermine your view that minority

adversely affects health?

A. No. And there's no evidence for that. There's no real challenge in terms of findings that are this -- confirming.

stress operates in the lives of gay and lesbian people and

Certainly, not all the findings are always perfectly as you would like them, but there's -- majority of the studies done in the field, as I said -- and many of them that I quote -- do not lead me to have doubt in the veracity of what I was testifying to.

And the situation with African-Americans, as I said, is of great interest to me, as is the issue around gender; that is, men versus women. It is something that I am very motivated to study. But it is really because of my intellectual curiosity and interest in, as I said, specifying the model better, understanding how do these differences that we were just describing, for example -- and there are others -- how do they play into this causal change that I was describing earlier.

So it is of interest, but it doesn't lead me to doubt anything regarding the specific case of minority stress in lesbian and gay men and bisexuals, which has been my work.

- Q. Now, Dr. Meyer, Mr. Nielson asked you a series of questions where he presented you with a hypothesis and then he would ask you whether a particular study or analysis was inconsistent with that hypothesis. Do you recall that?
- A. Yes.

2.0

- **Q.** Is one of the purposes of a study to test whether a 24 hypothesis is true or not true?
 - **A.** That is the purpose of a study.

- Q. Mr. Nielson also asked you about stigma in domestic
 partnerships, and he read you some examples of certain rights
 groups supporting domestic partnerships. Do you recall that?
- **A.** Yes.

2.0

Q. Ask just a couple of follow-up questions about that.

Assume, hypothetically, that you have no right to marry for gay and lesbian people, and no right to domestic partnership. Is it your view that gay and lesbian people are stigmatized?

- A. They're stigmatized as I showed, regardless of this. This is, as I said, an added block in the stigmatization and, I think, a very important and forceful one in the sense that it has the power of the state and all that. But it is not the only stigma, if I understand your question.
- 15 Q. Hypothetically, if you had a state in which there was no
 16 right to marry and no right to domestic partnership, is it your
 17 view that that would stigmatize gay and lesbian people?
 - A. Well, I think not having the right to marry would stigmatize them in the same way that it stigmatizes them in this case.
 - Q. And then, alternatively, if in the same state gay and lesbian people are denied the right to marry but they are given a domestic partnership that is valued differently by society, would you view that to be a stigmatic effect as well?
- **A.** Of course. In a sense, you're actually making a clearer

```
statement of stigmatization when you have this dual system,
   because it is not only that you're denying them the marriage,
 2
 3
   you're also saying this marriage is highly valued and,
 4
    therefore, you cannot get that part so we're giving you
 5
   something that we're calling something else.
 6
              So in some ways you could say, at least in the way
 7
   that, again, is not in some general way, but you could say that
    the message is even more severe. But, of course, it's kind of
 8
   a silly comparison, because I agree.
              I would say that if the state does not offer
10
   marriage, that alone is a stigma. But, certainly, if you have
11
    two sides to this, and you're saying you can only get to the
12
   back of the bus, that is quite more stigmatizing.
13
14
   Q.
        Thank you.
              MR. DUSSEAULT: I have nothing further.
15
              THE COURT: Very well.
16
17
              Thank you, Dr. Meyer. You may step down.
18
              THE WITNESS:
                            Thank you.
              THE COURT: And I think we'll perhaps pass on Ms. Zia
19
2.0
   until tomorrow morning.
21
              (Laughter)
22
              THE COURT: Is that agreeable to everybody?
23
              MR. BOIES: Yes, Your Honor.
24
              THE COURT: All right. See you all at 8:30 tomorrow
25
   morning.
```

1 2 3 4 5 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTERS 6 We, KATHERINE POWELL SULLIVAN and DEBRA L. PAS, 7 Official Reporters for the United States Court, Northern District of California, hereby certify that the foregoing 8 proceedings in C 09-2292 VRW, Kristin M. Perry, et al. vs. Arnold Schwarzenegger, in his official capacity as Governor of 10 11 California, et al., were reported by us, certified shorthand reporters, and were thereafter transcribed under our direction 12 13 into typewriting; that the foregoing is a full, complete and true record of said proceedings at the time of filing. 14 15 /s/ Katherine Powell Sullivan 16 17 Katherine Powell Sullivan, CSR #5812, RPR, CRR 18 U.S. Court Reporter 19 2.0 2.1 /s/ Debra L. Pas 22 Debra L. Pas, CSR #11916, RMR CRR U.S. Court Reporter 23 24 Friday, January 15, 2010 25